21 May 2014 OU-HET 806, KIAS-P14007

arXiv:1404.2748v2 [hep-ph] 29 May 2014

LHC signals of the SO(5)×U(1) gauge-Higgs unification

Shuichiro Funatsu*, Hisaki Hatanaka†, Yutaka Hosotani*, Yuta Orikasa* and Takuya Shimotani*

*Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

†School of Physics, KIAS, Seoul 130-722, Republic of Korea

Abstract

Signatures of the $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification at LHC and future colliders are explored. The Kaluza-Klein (KK) mass spectra of γ, Z, Z_R and the Higgs self-couplings obey universality relations with the Aharonov-Bohm phase θ_H in the fifth dimension. The current data at low energies and at LHC indicate $\theta_H < 0.2$. Couplings of quarks and leptons to KK gauge bosons are determined. Three neutral gauge bosons, the first KK modes $Z_R^{(1)}$, $Z^{(1)}$, and $\gamma^{(1)}$, appear as Z' bosons in dilepton events at LHC. For $\theta_H = 0.114$, the mass and decay width of $Z_R^{(1)}$, $Z^{(1)}$, and $\gamma^{(1)}$ are (5.73, 482), (6.07, 342), and (6.08 TeV, 886 GeV), respectively. For $\theta_H = 0.073$ their masses are $8.00 \sim 8.61$ TeV. An excess of events in the dilepton invariant mass should be observed in the Z' search at the upgraded LHC at 14 TeV.

1 INTRODUCTION

The discovery of the Higgs boson of a mass around 126 GeV[1, 2] supports the scenario of unification of forces and symmetry breaking envisioned in the standard model (SM) of electroweak interactions. Experimental data so far are consistent with what the SM describes, but more data are necessary to pin down whether or not the discovered boson is definitively the Higgs boson in the SM. Other scenarios such as supersymmetric models[3, 4], little Higgs models[5]-[8], composite Higgs models[9]-[16], warped extra-dimension models[17]-[19], and UED models[20]-[26] have been proposed in anticipation of physics beyond the SM. It is urgent to derive and predict new phenomena which can be observed and checked in the experiments at the upgraded 14 TeV LHC.

The gauge-Higgs unification is formulated in higher-dimensional gauge theory [27]-[32]. The four-dimensional Higgs boson appears as a part of the extra-dimensional component of gauge fields, being unified with four-dimensional gauge fields such as W, Z and γ. Dynamics of the Higgs boson are governed by the gauge principle. Most viable is the $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification in the Randall-Sundrum warped space[9],[33]-[37]. At low energies it yields almost the same physics as the SM, being consistent with LHC data and others. Higgs couplings to gauge bosons, quarks and leptons at the tree level are suppressed by a common factor $\cos\theta_H$, where θ_H is the Aharonov-Bohm phase in the extra dimension[38]. All of the precision measurements[9, 39], the tree-unitary constraint[40], and the Z' search[41]-[43] indicate $\theta_H < 0.2$. Branching fractions of various decay modes of the Higgs boson remain nearly the same as in the SM, and the signal strengths of the Higgs decay modes relative to the SM are $\sim \cos^2\theta_H$[37]. We note that though the gauge-Higgs unification model has similarity to the composite Higgs models, it is more restrictive and has more predictive power.

To distinguish the gauge-Higgs unification from the SM we examine the prediction of new particles. It has been pointed out that the first Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes of Z and γ, denoted as $Z^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}$, must appear around 6 TeV for $\theta_H \sim 0.1$. In this paper we give detailed analysis of production of $Z_R^{(1)}$, $Z^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}$ at the upgraded LHC. Here Z_R is the gauge boson associated with $SU(2)_R$, which does not have a zero mode. It will be shown that $Z_R^{(1)}$, $Z^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}$ have large widths and can be seen as e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$ signals. Once their masses are determined, the value of θ_H is fixed from the universality relations, which leads to further prediction of the Higgs self-couplings, etc.. Many other signals of gauge-Higgs unification have been discussed in the literature[44]-[67].

In Sec.2 the action of the model is given. In addition to quark-lepton multiplets in the vector representation of $SO(5)$, fermion multiplets in the spinor representation of $SO(5)$ are introduced to realize the observed unstable Higgs boson. In Sec.3 the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ is evaluated and relevant parameters of the model are determined. It is shown that there appear universality relations among θ_H, the KK mass scale $m_{\rm KK}$, $m_{Z_R^{(1)}}$, $m_{Z^{(1)}}, m_{\gamma^{(1)}}$, and Higgs cubic and quartic couplings. In Sec.4 dilepton $(e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-)$ signals at LHC in the so-called Z' search are examined. In the $SO(5)\times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification $Z_R^{(1)}$, $Z^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}$ appear as Z' bosons. Their masses are around 6 TeV (8 TeV) for $\theta_H = 0.114$ (0.073), and they have large decay widths. We show that they must be found in the upgraded LHC at 14 TeV. Sec.5 is devoted to conclusions. In the Appendixes we summarize KK mass spectra, wave functions and gauge couplings of gauge fields, quark-leptons, and $SO(5)$-spinor fermions.

2 MODEL

The model is defined in the Randall-Sundrum warped spacetime [17] with the metric

$$ds^2 = G_{MN}dx^M dx^N = e^{-2\sigma(y)}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2 \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = {\rm diag}(-1,1,1,1)$, $\sigma(-y) = \sigma(y)$, $\sigma(y+2L) = \sigma(y)$ and $\sigma(y) = k|y|$ for $|y| \le L$. The Planck brane and TeV brane are located at $y = 0$ and $y = L$, respectively. In the bulk region, $0 < y < L$, the cosmological constant is given by $\Lambda = -6k^2$. The warp factor $z_L = e^{kL}$ is large; $z_L \gg 1$. The KK mass scale is given by $m_{\rm KK} = \pi k/(z_L - 1) \sim \pi k z_L^{-1}$. In the fundamental region $0 \le y \le L$ the metric can be written, in terms of the conformal coordinate $z = e^{ky}$, as

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2}\Big(\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + \frac{dz^2}{k^2}\Big) \ . \tag{2.2}$$

The gauge symmetry in the bulk region is given by $SO(5)\times U(1)_X \times SU(3)_C$ with the corresponding gauge fields A_M, B_M and G_M and gauge couplings g_A, g_B and g_C. Quark-lepton multiplets Ψ_a are introduced in the vector representation **5** of $SO(5)$, whereas additional fermions Ψ_{F_i} are introduced in the spinor representation **4** of $SO(5)$[34, 36, 37]. The $SO(5)$ gauge symmetry is partially broken to $SO(4) \simeq SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ by orbifold boundary conditions. On the Planck brane at $y = 0$ $(z = 1)$ there live right-handed brane fermions $\hat{\chi}_{\alpha R}$ and brane scalar $\hat{\Phi}$, which are (**2**,**1**) and (**1**,**2**) representation of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$, respectively. The brane interactions are manifestly gauge-invariant under $SO(4)\times$

$U(1)_X \times SU(3)_C$. The brane scalar $\hat{\Phi}$ spontaneously breaks $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X$ to $U(1)_Y$ by $\langle\hat{\Phi}\rangle \gg m_{\rm KK}$, which, in turn, induces mixing among Ψ_a and $\hat{\chi}_{\alpha R}$ and makes all exotic fermions acquire masses of $O(m_{\rm KK})$. The resultant theory at low energies ($< 1\,{\rm TeV}$) has the SM gauge symmetry $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_C$ with the SM matter content. All $SO(4) \times U(1)_X$ anomalies are cancelled. Finally the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry is dynamically broken to $U(1)_{\rm EM}$ by the Hosotani mechanism.

The bulk part of the action is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\rm bulk} = \int d^5x\sqrt{-G}\,\Big[& -{\rm tr}\,\Big(\frac{1}{4}F^{(A)MN}F^{(A)}_{MN} + \frac{1}{2\xi_A}(f^{(A)}_{\rm gf})^2 + \mathcal{L}^{(A)}_{\rm gh}\Big) \\
& -\Big(\frac{1}{4}F^{(B)MN}F^{(B)}_{MN} + \frac{1}{2\xi_B}(f^{(B)}_{\rm gf})^2 + \mathcal{L}^{(B)}_{\rm gh}\Big) \\
& -{\rm tr}\,\Big(\frac{1}{2}F^{(G)MN}F^{(G)}_{MN} + \frac{1}{\xi_C}(f^{(G)}_{\rm gf})^2 + \mathcal{L}^{(G)}_{\rm gh}\Big) \\
& + \sum_a \overline{\Psi}_a \mathcal{D}(c_a)\Psi_a + \sum_{i=1}^{n_F}\overline{\Psi}_{F_i}\mathcal{D}(c_{F_i})\Psi_{F_i}\Big], \\
\mathcal{D}(c) = \Gamma^A e_A{}^M\Big(\partial_M + & \frac{1}{8}\omega_{MBC}[\Gamma^B,\Gamma^C] \\
& -ig_A A_M - ig_B Q_X B_M - ig_C Q^{\rm color} G_M\Big) - c\sigma'(y)\ . \qquad (2.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

The gauge fixing and ghost terms are denoted as functionals with subscripts gf and gh, respectively. $F^{(A)}_{MN} = \partial_M A_N - \partial_N A_M - ig_A\big[A_M, A_N\big]$, $F^{(B)}_{MN} = \partial_M B_N - \partial_N B_M$, and $F^{(G)}_{MN} = \partial_M G_N - \partial_N G_M - ig_C[G_M, G_N]$. The gauge fixing function is taken as $f^{(A)}_{\rm gf} = z^2\big\{\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}_\mu A_\nu + \xi_A k^2 z\mathcal{D}^c_z(A^q_z/z)\big\}$ with a background field A^c_z ($A_z = A^c_z + A^q_z$), $B^c_z = G^c_z = 0$. $Q^{\rm color} = 1$ for quark-multiplets and $Q^{\rm color} = 0$ otherwise. The $SO(5)$ gauge fields A_M are decomposed as

$$
A_M = \sum_{a_L=1}^{3} A^{a_L}_M T^{a_L} + \sum_{a_R=1}^{3} A^{a_R}_M T^{a_R} + \sum_{\hat{a}=1}^{4} A^{\hat{a}}_M T^{\hat{a}}, \qquad (2.4)
$$

where $T^{a_L,a_R}(a_L, a_R = 1,2,3)$ and $T^{\hat{a}}(\hat{a} = 1,2,3,4)$ are the generators of $SO(4) \simeq SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ and $SO(5)/SO(4)$, respectively. The electric charge is given by

$$
Q_{\rm EM} = T^{3_L} + T^{3_R} + Q_X\ . \qquad (2.5)
$$

In the fermion part $\overline{\Psi} = i\Psi^\dagger\Gamma^0$ and Γ^M matrices are given by

$$
\Gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & \end{pmatrix}\ ,\ \ \Gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix},\ \ \sigma^\mu = (1,\ \vec{\sigma})\ ,\ \ \bar{\sigma}^\mu = (-1,\ \vec{\sigma})\ . \qquad (2.6)
$$

The $c\sigma'(y)$ term in the action (2.3) gives a bulk kink mass. The dimensionless parameter c plays an important role in controlling profiles of fermion wave functions.

The orbifold boundary conditions at $y_0 = 0$ and $y_1 = L$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu \\ A_y \end{pmatrix}(x, y_j - y) = P_{\rm vec}\begin{pmatrix} A_\mu \\ -A_y \end{pmatrix}(x, y_j + y)P_{\rm vec}^{-1}, \\
&\begin{pmatrix} B_\mu \\ B_y \end{pmatrix}(x, y_j - y) = \begin{pmatrix} B_\mu \\ -B_y \end{pmatrix}(x, y_j + y), \\
&\begin{pmatrix} G_\mu \\ G_y \end{pmatrix}(x, y_j - y) = \begin{pmatrix} G_\mu \\ -G_y \end{pmatrix}(x, y_j + y), \\
&\Psi_a(x, y_j - y) = P_{\rm vec}\Gamma^5\Psi_a(x, y_j + y), \\
&\Psi_{F_i}(x, y_j - y) = (-1)^j P_{\rm sp}\Gamma^5\Psi_{F_i}(x, y_j + y), \\
&P_{\rm vec} = {\rm diag}\,(-1,-1,-1,-1,+1), \quad P_{\rm sp} = {\rm diag}\,(+1,+1,-1,-1).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The $SO(5)$ symmetry is reduced to $SO(4) \simeq SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ by the orbifold boundary conditions. At this stage the four-dimensional components of the five-dimensional gauge fields have zero modes in $SO(4) \times U(1)_X \times SU(3)_C$, whereas the extra-dimensional components have zero modes in $SO(5)/SO(4)$, $A_y^{\hat{a}}$ or $A_z^{\hat{a}}$ ($a = 1, \cdots, 4$). The latter contains the four-dimensional Higgs field, which is a doublet both in $SU(2)_L$ and in $SU(2)_R$. Without loss of generality one can set $\langle A_y^{\hat{a}} \rangle \propto \delta^{a4}$ when the EW symmetry is spontaneously broken by the Hosotani mechanism. The zero modes of $A_y^{\hat{a}}$ (a = 1,2,3) are absorbed by W and Z bosons. The four-dimensional neutral Higgs field $H(x)$ is a fluctuation mode of the Wilson line phase θ_H,

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_y^{\hat{4}}(x, y) = \big\{\theta_H f_H + H(x)\big\}\tilde{u}_H(y) + \cdots\ , \\
&\exp\left\{\frac{i}{2}\theta_H \cdot 2\sqrt{2}T^{\hat{4}}\right\} = \exp\left\{ig_A\int_0^L dy\langle A_y\rangle\right\}\ , \\
&f_H = \frac{2}{g_A}\sqrt{\frac{k}{z_L^2 - 1}} = \frac{2}{g_w}\sqrt{\frac{k}{L(z_L^2 - 1)}}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Here the wave function of the four-dimensional Higgs boson is given by $\tilde{u}_H(y) = [2k/(z_L^2 - 1)]^{1/2}e^{2ky}$ for $0 \le y \le L$ and $\tilde{u}_H(-y) = \tilde{u}_H(y) = \tilde{u}_H(y + 2L)$. $g_w = g_A/\sqrt{L}$ is the dimensionless 4 dimensional $SU(2)_L$ coupling.

Quark-lepton multiplets Ψ_a are in the vector representation of $SO(5)$. They are decomposed into $SO(4)$ vectors and singlets. One $SO(4)$ vector multiplet contains two $SU(2)_L$ doublets. In each generation

$$\Psi_1 = \left[\begin{pmatrix} T \\ B \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}, t' \right]_{2/3}, \quad \Psi_2 = \left[\begin{pmatrix} U \\ D \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}, b' \right]_{-1/3},$$
$$\Psi_3 = \left[\begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} L_{1X} \\ L_{1Y} \end{pmatrix}, \tau' \right]_{-1}, \quad \Psi_4 = \left[\begin{pmatrix} L_{2X} \\ L_{2Y} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} L_{3X} \\ L_{3Y} \end{pmatrix}, \nu'_\tau \right]_{0}, \tag{2.9}$$

where the subscripts denote Q_X. We choose the bulk mass parameters such that $c_1 = c_2$ and $c_3 = c_4$ in each generation. With the boundary condition in (2.7), zero modes appear in

$$\left[Q_{1L} = \begin{pmatrix} T_L \\ B_L \end{pmatrix}, q_L = \begin{pmatrix} t_L \\ b_L \end{pmatrix}, t'_R \right], \left[Q_{2L} = \begin{pmatrix} U_L \\ D_L \end{pmatrix}, Q_{3L} = \begin{pmatrix} X_L \\ Y_L \end{pmatrix}, b'_R \right],$$
$$\left[\ell_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{\tau L} \\ \tau_L \end{pmatrix}, L_{1L} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{1XL} \\ L_{1YL} \end{pmatrix}, \tau'_R \right], \left[L_{2L} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{2XL} \\ L_{2YL} \end{pmatrix}, L_{3L} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{3XL} \\ L_{3YL} \end{pmatrix}, \nu'_{\tau R} \right]. \tag{2.10}$$

On the Planck brane there exist the brane scalar $\hat{\Phi}$ in ($\mathbf{1}$,$\mathbf{2}$) representation of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ with $Q_X = \frac{1}{2}$ and the brane fermions in ($\mathbf{2}$,$\mathbf{1}$) representation of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$.

$$\hat{\chi}^q_{1R} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{T}_R \\ \hat{B}_R \end{pmatrix}_{7/6}, \quad \hat{\chi}^q_{2R} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{U}_R \\ \hat{D}_R \end{pmatrix}_{1/6}, \quad \hat{\chi}^q_{3R} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{X}_R \\ \hat{Y}_R \end{pmatrix}_{-5/6},$$
$$\hat{\chi}^l_{1R} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{L}_{1XR} \\ \hat{L}_{1YR} \end{pmatrix}_{-3/2}, \quad \hat{\chi}^l_{2R} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{L}_{2XR} \\ \hat{L}_{2YR} \end{pmatrix}_{1/2}, \quad \hat{\chi}^l_{3R} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{L}_{3XR} \\ \hat{L}_{3YR} \end{pmatrix}_{-1/2}, \tag{2.11}$$

where the subscripts denote Q_X. $\hat{\chi}^q_{\alpha R}$'s are $SU(3)_C$ triplets. With these brane fermions all four-dimensional anomalies in $SO(4) \times U(1)_X$ are cancelled[36].

The brane part of the action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm brane} = \int d^5x \sqrt{-G}\, \delta(y) \Big\{ & - (D_\mu \hat{\Phi})^\dagger D^\mu \hat{\Phi} - \lambda_{\hat{\Phi}} (\hat{\Phi}^\dagger \hat{\Phi} - w^2)^2 \\ & + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \left(\hat{\chi}^{q\dagger}_{\alpha R}\, i\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \hat{\chi}^q_{\alpha R} + \hat{\chi}^{l\dagger}_{\alpha R} i\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \hat{\chi}^l_{\alpha R} \right) \\ & - i \Big[\kappa^q_1 \hat{\chi}^{q\dagger}_{1R} \check{\Psi}_{1L} \tilde{\hat{\Phi}} + \tilde{\kappa}^q \hat{\chi}^{q\dagger}_{2R} \check{\Psi}_{1L} \hat{\Phi} + \kappa^q_2 \hat{\chi}^{q\dagger}_{2R} \check{\Psi}_{2L} \tilde{\hat{\Phi}} + \kappa^q_3 \hat{\chi}^{q\dagger}_{3R} \check{\Psi}_{2L} \hat{\Phi} - ({\rm h.c.}) \Big] \\ & - i \Big[\tilde{\kappa}^l \hat{\chi}^{l\dagger}_{3R} \check{\Psi}_{3L} \tilde{\hat{\Phi}} + \kappa^l_1 \hat{\chi}^{l\dagger}_{1R} \check{\Psi}_{3L} \hat{\Phi} + \kappa^l_2 \hat{\chi}^{l\dagger}_{2R} \check{\Psi}_{4L} \tilde{\hat{\Phi}} + \kappa^l_3 \hat{\chi}^{l\dagger}_{3R} \check{\Psi}_{4L} \hat{\Phi} - ({\rm h.c.}) \Big] \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D_\mu \hat{\Phi} = \Big(\partial_\mu - ig_A \sum_{a_R=1}^{3} A_\mu^{a_R} T^{a_R} - iQ_X g_B B_\mu\Big)\hat{\Phi} \ , \\
&D_\mu \hat{\chi}_{\alpha R} = \Big(\partial_\mu - ig_A \sum_{a_L=1}^{3} A_\mu^{a_L} T^{a_L} - iQ_X g_B B_\mu - ig_C Q^{\rm color} G_\mu\Big)\hat{\chi}_{\alpha R} \, , \\
&\check{\Psi}_{1L} = \begin{pmatrix} T_L & t_L \\ B_L & b_L \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{etc.}, \quad \tilde{\hat{\Phi}} = i\sigma_2 \hat{\Phi}^* \ . \qquad (2.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\langle \hat{\Phi} \rangle = (0, w)^t \neq 0$ breaks $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X$ to $U(1)_Y$. It also induces mass mixing on the brane

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\rm brane}^{\rm mass} = \int d^5x \sqrt{-G}\, \delta(y) \Big\{ &- \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} i\mu_\alpha^q (\hat{\chi}_{\alpha R}^{q\dagger} Q_{\alpha L} - Q_{\alpha L}^\dagger \hat{\chi}_{\alpha R}^q) - i\tilde{\mu}^q (\hat{\chi}_{2R}^{q\dagger} q_L - q_L^\dagger \hat{\chi}_{2R}^q) \\
&- \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} i\mu_\alpha^l (\hat{\chi}_{\alpha R}^{l\dagger} L_{\alpha L} - L_{\alpha L}^\dagger \hat{\chi}_{\alpha R}^l) - i\tilde{\mu}^l (\hat{\chi}_{3R}^{l\dagger} \ell_L - \ell_L^\dagger \hat{\chi}_{3R}^l) \Big\}, \\
&\frac{\mu_\alpha^q}{\kappa_\alpha^q} = \frac{\tilde{\mu}^l}{\tilde{\kappa}^q} = \frac{\mu_\alpha^l}{\kappa_\alpha^l} = \frac{\tilde{\mu}^l}{\tilde{\kappa}^l} = w \ , \qquad (2.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_\alpha, \tilde{\mu}$ define brane mass parameters. In the present paper we assume that the brane interactions are diagonal in the generation of quarks and leptons. In this case all of $\mu_\alpha, \tilde{\mu}$ and w can be taken to be real and positive without loss of generality. As far as $\mu_\alpha, \tilde{\mu} \gg m_{\rm KK}$, only $\tilde{\mu}^q/\mu_2^q$ and $\tilde{\mu}^l/\mu_3^l$ become relevant at low energies.

As shown in Sec.3, the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ is minimized at $\theta_H \neq 0$, thereby the electroweak symmetry breaking taking place. The gauge fields are expanded in KK towers. In particular, four-dimensional components of the $SO(5) \times U(1)_X$ gauge fields are expanded, in the twisted gauge, as

$$
A_\mu(x,z) + \frac{g_B}{g_A} B_\mu(x,z) T_B = \hat{W}_\mu^- + \hat{W}_\mu^+ + \hat{Z}_\mu + \hat{A}_\mu^\gamma + \hat{W}_{R\mu}^- + \hat{W}_{R\mu}^+ + \hat{Z}_{R\mu} + \hat{A}_\mu^{\hat{4}} \ . \qquad (2.14)
$$

Here we have introduced T_B such that $\mathrm{Tr}\, {T_B}^2 = 1$, $\mathrm{Tr}\, T_B T^\alpha = 0$ and $\mathrm{Tr}\, T^\alpha T^\beta = \delta^{\alpha\beta}$ where T^α's are generators of $SO(5)$ in the tensorial representation. The $\hat{W}^\pm, \hat{Z}$ and $\hat{A}^\gamma$ towers contain $W^\pm, Z$ and γ. The other towers do not contain light modes. Each of the $\hat{W}^+, \hat{W}^-, \hat{Z}$ towers splits into two KK towers at $\theta_H = 0$. In all, (2.14) contains 11 KK towers. Details of wave functions of each KK tower are tabulated in Appendix B.

The fermion Ψ_{F_i} are introduced in the spinor representation of $SO(5)$ unlike other fields in the bulk which are in the vector or adjoint representations[37]. As explained in

the next section, the existence of Ψ_{F_i} in addition to the other bulk fields leads to nontrivial dependence of the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ on θ_H and to the instability of the four-dimensional Higgs boson. The boundary condition $\Psi_{F_i}(x, y_j - y) = (-1)^j P_{\rm sp}\Gamma^5 \Psi_{F_i}(x, y_j + y)$ in (2.7) implies that there is no zero mode for $\theta_H = 0$ and that the lowest KK modes of $\Psi_{F_i}(x, z)$ dominantly couple to the $SU(2)_R$ gauge bosons. If the boundary condition $\Psi_{F_i}(x, y_j - y) = (-1)^{j+1} P_{\rm sp}\Gamma^5 \Psi_{F_i}(x, y_j + y)$ were taken, then the lowest KK modes of $\Psi_{F_i}(x, z)$ would dominantly couple to the $SU(2)_L$ gauge bosons. The lowest, neutral component of Ψ_{F_i} turns out stable and becomes the dark matter of the Universe, as will be explained in a separate paper[67]. For this reason the $SO(5)$-spinor fermion Ψ_{F_i} is called a dark fermion.

3 HIGGS BOSON AND THE UNIVERSALITY

As explained in (2.8), the extra-dimensional component $A_z = (kz)^{-1} A_y$ contains the four-dimensional Higgs field,

$$A_z^{\hat{4}}(x, z) = \Big\{\theta_H f_H + H(x)\Big\} u_H(z) + \cdots \ ,$$
$$u_H(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k(z_L^2 - 1)}}\ z \quad \text{for } 1 \le z \le z_L \ . \tag{3.1}$$

The value of θ_H is determined by the location of the global minimum of the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$. The Higgs boson mass is given by

$$m_H^2 = \frac{1}{f_H^2} \frac{d^2 V_{\rm eff}}{d\theta_H^2}\bigg|_{\rm min} \ . \tag{3.2}$$

In this section we explain how the parameters of the model are determined, and show that universality relations appear among θ_H, the KK mass $m_{\rm KK}$, the masses of $Z^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}$, and the Higgs self-couplings[37].

3.1 $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$

Let us first consider the case in which all $SO(5)$-spinor fermions (dark fermions) Ψ_{F_i} are degenerate at the tree level, i.e. $c_{F_i} = c_F$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n_F)$. At the one-loop level only the KK towers whose mass spectra depend on θ_H contribute to the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$. Those spectra are given by (B.6) for the W tower, (B.8) for the Z tower, (B.22) for the D tower, (C.4) for the top quark tower, (C.7) for the bottom quark tower, and (C.9) for

the F tower or the dark fermions. Contributions of other quarks and leptons turn out exponentially suppressed and negligible.

The relevant parameters of the model are k, z_L, g_A, g_B, c_t, $\tilde{\mu}/\mu_2$, c_F and n_F, from which $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ is determined. Other brane mass parameters are irrelevant so long as $\mu_\alpha, \tilde{\mu}, w \gg m_{\rm KK}$. These eight parameters are chosen such that m_Z, α_w, $\sin^2\theta_W$, m_t, m_b, and m_H take the observed values[68]. (To be precise, $\sin^2\theta_W$ is determined by global fit.) This procedure leaves two parameters, say z_L and n_F, free. The procedure is highly involved as everything must be determined at the global minimum of $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$, which, however, is to be found after all parameters are specified. In other words, all parameters must be determined self-consistently.

First we note that with those given parameters, the one–loop effective potential is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H, c_t, r_t, c_F, n_F, k, z_L, \theta_W; \xi) &= 2(3-\xi^2) I[Q_W] + (3-\xi^2) I[Q_Z] + 3\xi^2 I[Q_D] \\
&\quad -12\{I[Q_{\rm top}] + I[Q_{\rm bottom}]\} - 8 n_F I[Q_F] \ , \\
I[Q(q;\theta_H)] &= \frac{(k z_L^{-1})^4}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty dq\, q^3 \ln\{1 + Q(q;\theta_H)\} \ , \\
Q_W &= \cos^2\theta_W Q_Z = \tfrac{1}{2} Q_D = \tfrac{1}{2} Q_0[q; \tfrac{1}{2}] \sin^2\theta_H \ , \\
Q_{\rm top} &= \frac{Q_{\rm bottom}}{r_t} = \frac{Q_0[q; c_t]}{2(1+r_t)} \sin^2\theta_H \ , \\
Q_F &= Q_0[q; c_F] \cos^2 \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H \ , \\
Q_0[q; c] &= \frac{z_L}{q^2 \hat{F}_{c-\frac{1}{2}, c-\frac{1}{2}}(q z_L^{-1}, q) \hat{F}_{c+\frac{1}{2}, c+\frac{1}{2}}(q z_L^{-1}, q)} \ , \\
\hat{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(u,v) &= I_\alpha(u) K_\beta(v) - e^{-i(\alpha-\beta)\pi} K_\alpha(u) I_\beta(v) \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.3}
$$

where $r_t = (\tilde{\mu}/\mu_2)^2$ and K_α and I_α are modified Bessel functions. In the following we take the 't Hooft–Feynman gauge $\xi = 1$.

We adopt the following algorithm to find consistent solutions. We fix the two parameters z_L and n_F.

1. Suppose that the minimum of $V_{\rm eff}$ is located at $\theta_H = \theta_1$. Equation (B.8) and $\sin^2\theta_W$ determine $\lambda_{Z^{(0)}}$, which fixes k by the Z boson mass $m_Z = \lambda_{Z^{(0)}} k$.

2. c_t and r_t are determined from (C.4) and (C.7) such that the observed masses of the top and bottom quarks are reproduced.

3. Now $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ in (3.3) is evaluated with c_F being a parameter. c_F is determined by the condition
$$\left.\frac{dV_{\rm eff}}{d\theta_H}\right|_{\theta_1} = 0 \ , \tag{3.4}$$
which assures that the minimum of $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ is located at θ_1.

4. With these parameters the Higgs boson mass m_H is evaluated from (3.2). This gives $m_H(\theta_1)$, which, in general, differs from the observed value $m_H = 126\,\text{GeV}$.

5. We vary the value θ_1 and repeat the procedure from step 1 until we get $m_H(\theta_1) = 126\,\text{GeV}$.

In this manner the value $\theta_H = \theta_1$ at the minimum is determined as $\theta_H(z_L, n_F)$. All other quantities such as the mass specta of all KK towers, gauge couplings of all particles, and Yukawa couplings of all fermions are determined as functions of z_L, n_F. Determined values for θ_H, $m_{\rm KK}$, $m_{Z^{(1)}}$, etc. are tabulated in Table 1 in the case of $n_F = 5$.

Smaller c_t and c_F correspond to heavier masses of the top quark and dark fermions $F^{+(1)}$ and $F^{0(1)}$ and give larger contributions to $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$. As n_F gets larger, c_F ($m_{F^{(1)}}$) becomes larger (smaller) with fixed m_H, as the contribution from each dark fermion to $V_{\rm eff}$ becomes small. Given n_F, only a limited region for z_L is allowed. For $n_F = 1, 2, 3$ one cannot reproduce the Higgs mass 126 GeV when z_L becomes too small. When $n_F \geq 4$, one cannot reproduce the top quark mass for $z_L < 10^4$.

Table 1: Parameters and masses in the case of degenerate dark fermions with $n_F = 5$. All masses and k are given in units of TeV.

z_L	θ_H	$m_{\rm KK}$	k	c_t	c_F	$m_{F^{(1)}}$	$m_{Z_R^{(1)}}$	$m_{Z^{(1)}}$	$m_{\gamma^{(1)}}$
10^9	0.473	2.50	7.97×10^8	0.376	0.459	0.353	1.92	1.97	1.98
10^8	0.351	3.13	9.97×10^7	0.357	0.445	0.502	2.40	2.48	2.48
10^7	0.251	4.06	1.29×10^7	0.330	0.430	0.735	3.11	3.24	3.24
10^6	0.172	5.45	1.74×10^6	0.292	0.410	1.11	4.17	4.37	4.38
10^5	0.114	7.49	2.38×10^5	0.227	0.382	1.75	5.73	6.07	6.08
10^4	0.0730	10.5	3.33×10^4	0.0366	0.333	2.91	8.00	8.61	8.61

Dark fermions may not be degenerate. Suppose that n_F^h multiplets have the bulk mass $c_{F_i} = c_F^h$, and n_F^l multiplets have $c_{F_i} = c_F^l$. Small difference between c_F^h and c_F^l can yield a

substantial difference in masses, whereas $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$ is almost unaffected. For instance, when $n_F = n_F^h + n_F^l = 5$, a difference $c_F^l - c_F^h = 0.01(0.03)$ leads to $m_{F_h} - m_{F_l} = 30$ to $80\,\mathrm{GeV}$ (80 to $240\,\mathrm{GeV}$). The dark fermion masses $m_{F_h^{(1)}}$ and $m_{F_l^{(1)}}$ in the case of $(n_F^h, n_F^l) = (3, 2)$ and $c_F^l - c_F^h = 0.03$ are tabulated in Table 2. It is found that the numerical values of $m_{\rm KK}$, k, c_t, $m_{Z_R^{(1)}}$, $m_{Z^{(1)}}$, and $m_{\gamma^{(1)}}$ are the same as those in Table 1 to the accuracy of three digits.

Table 2: Parameters and masses in the case of nondegenerate dark fermions with $(n_F^h, n_F^l) = (3, 2)$ and $c_F^l - c_F^h = 0.03$. Masses are given in units of TeV. The values of $m_{\rm KK}$, k, c_t, $m_{Z^{(1)}}$, $m_{Z_R^{(1)}}$, and $m_{\gamma^{(1)}}$ are the same in three digits as those in Table 1 in the degenerate case.

z_L	θ_H	c_F^h	$m_{F_h^{(1)}}$	$m_{F_l^{(1)}}$
10^9	0.473	0.447	0.384	0.304
10^8	0.351	0.434	0.540	0.444
10^7	0.251	0.418	0.781	0.663
10^6	0.172	0.398	1.17	1.02
10^5	0.114	0.370	1.83	1.64
10^4	0.0730	0.321	3.01	2.77

3.2 The universality

As described above, various quantities such as θ_H, $m_{\rm KK}$, the mass spectra, Higgs cubic and quartic self-couplings λ_3, λ_4, and Yukawa couplings are determined as functions of z_L and n_F in the case of degenerate dark fermions. In other words they depend not only on z_L, but also on how dark fermions are introduced, which could spoil the predictability of the model. Surprisingly it has been found in Ref. [37] that universal relations are held among θ_H, $m_{\rm KK}$, $m_{Z_R^{(1)}}$, $m_{Z^{(1)}}$, $m_{\gamma^{(1)}}$, λ_3, and λ_4 irrespective of n_F. This property is called the universality. It implies that once one of these quantities is determined from experiments, then other quantities are predicted, irrespective of the details of the dark fermion sector. The mass spectrum of dark fermions, $m_{F^{(1)}}$, on the other hand, sensitively depends on n_F.

It is most enlightening to express these universal relations as functions of θ_H. The masses $m_{\rm KK}$, $m_{Z_R^{(1)}}$, $m_{Z^{(1)}}$, $m_{\gamma^{(1)}}$ are expressed in the form of

$$m_{\rm KK} \sim \frac{1352\,\mathrm{GeV}}{(\sin\theta_H)^{0.786}}\ ,$$

$$m_{Z_R^{(1)}} \sim \frac{1038\,\mathrm{GeV}}{(\sin\theta_H)^{0.784}} \ ,$$

$$m_{Z^{(1)}} \sim \frac{1044\,\mathrm{GeV}}{(\sin\theta_H)^{0.808}} \ ,$$

$$m_{\gamma^{(1)}} \sim \frac{1056\,\mathrm{GeV}}{(\sin\theta_H)^{0.804}} \ . \tag{3.5}$$

The relation between θ_H and $m_{Z^{(1)}}$ is plotted in Fig. 1 for $n_F = 0, 1, 3, 6$. One can see that the curve is universal, independent of n_F. (The case of $n_F = 0$ corresponds to $\theta_H = \frac{1}{2}\pi$ and the stable Higgs boson.)



Figure 1: θ_H vs $m_{Z^{(1)}}$ for $m_H = 126\,\mathrm{GeV}$ with n_F degenerate dark fermions.

Similarly the Higgs cubic and quartic self-couplings, λ_3 and λ_4 are plotted against θ_H for $n_F = 0, 1, 3, 9$ in Fig. 2. The fitting curves are given by

$$\lambda_3/\mathrm{GeV} = 26.7\cos\theta_H + 1.42(1+\cos 2\theta_H) \ ,$$

$$\lambda_4 = -0.0106 + 0.0304\cos 2\theta_H + 0.00159\cos 4\theta_H \ . \tag{3.6}$$

These numbers should be compared with $\lambda_3^{\mathrm{SM}} = 31.5\,\mathrm{GeV}$ and $\lambda_4^{\mathrm{SM}} = 0.0320$ in the SM. We note that the effective potential $V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\theta_H)$ is bounded from below so that the negative λ_4 for $\theta_H > 0.6$ does not cause the instability. In the gauge-Higgs unification there is no instability problem in the Higgs couplings.

It should be noted that no universality is found in the mass spectrum of the dark fermions. The mass $m_{F^{(1)}}$ is plotted in Fig. 3 for various n_F.



Figure 2: θ_H vs λ_3^H and λ_4^H for $m_H = 126\,\text{GeV}$ with n_F degenerate dark fermions. In the SM $\lambda_3^{\text{SM}} = 31.5\,\text{GeV}$ and $\lambda_4^{\text{SM}} = 0.0320$. The fitting curves are given by (3.6).



Figure 3: θ_H vs m_F for $m_H = 126\,\text{GeV}$ with n_F degenerate dark fermions.

The universality relations are determined with the fixed Higgs boson mass m_H. If m_H were smaller or larger than the observed value, the universality relations would slightly change. The KK mass scale m_{KK} increases as m_H. The fitting curve is parmetrized as $m_{\text{KK}} = \alpha/|\sin\theta_H|^\beta$ with given m_H. The values of α and β for various m_H are tabulated in Table 3. We plotted $m_{\text{KK}}(\theta_H)$ for $m_H = 110, 126, 140\,\text{GeV}$ in Fig. 4.

We stress that the universality leads to powerful predictions. Once the value of θ_H is determined from, say, $m_{Z^{(1)}}$, many other quantities are predicted for experimental confirmation. The gauge-Higgs unification scenario is very predictive.



Figure 4: θ_H vs m_{KK} with various values of m_H.

Table 3: Universality relation $m_{\rm KK} = \alpha/|\sin\theta_H|^\beta$ with various value of m_H.

m_H(GeV)	α(TeV)	β
110	1.20	0.733
120	1.30	0.766
126	1.35	0.786
130	1.39	0.800
140	1.49	0.820

4 e^+e^-, $\mu^+\mu^-$ EVENTS IN THE Z' SEARCH

One of the distinctive predictions of the $SO(5)\times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification is the existence of the KK excited modes of Z and γ. Independent of the details of the dark fermion sector the universality predicts that $m_{Z^{(1)}}, m_{\gamma^{(1)}} \sim 6\,\text{TeV}$ $(3\,\text{TeV})$ for $\theta_H = 0.1$ (0.2) as depicted in Fig. 1. $Z^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}$ partially decay to e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$, which should appear as clear signals in the Z' search at LHC[69]-[74]. We evaluate the production and decay rates of those particles.

In our model there are four kinds of neutral gauge bosons at the TeV scale. [See Eq. (2.14]. They are the first KK mode of Z boson, $Z^{(1)}$, the first KK mode of photon, $\gamma^{(1)}$, the $Z_R^{(1)}$ boson and the $A^{\hat{4}}$ boson. Among them the $A^{\hat{4}}$ boson does not couple to SM particles so that it escapes from detection in the Z' search. $Z^{(1)}$, $\gamma^{(1)}$, and $Z_R^{(1)}$ are the candidates for Z' bosons.

4.1 Couplings and decay widths

To evaluate the production and decay rates of Z' bosons we need to know four-dimensional Z' couplings of quarks and leptons. They are obtained from the five-dimensional gauge interaction terms by inserting wave functions of gauge bosons and quarks or leptons and integrating over the fifth-dimensional coordinate. The couplings of the photon, Z boson and $Z_R^{(1)}$ boson towers can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} \supset & \sum_{n,i} A_\mu^{\gamma(n)} \Big[g_{u^iL}^{\gamma(n)} \bar{u}^i{}_L^i \gamma^\mu u_L^i + g_{u^iR}^{\gamma(n)} \bar{u}_R^i \gamma^\mu u_R^i + g_{d^iL}^{\gamma(n)} \bar{d}_L^i \gamma^\mu d_L^i + g_{d^iR}^{\gamma(n)} \bar{d}_R^i \gamma^\mu d_R^i \\
& \qquad + g_{e^iL}^{\gamma(n)} \bar{e}_L^i \gamma^\mu e_L^i + g_{e^iR}^{\gamma(n)} \bar{e}_R^i \gamma^\mu e_R^i \Big] \\
& + \sum_{n,i} Z_\mu^{(n)} \Big[g_{u^iL}^{Z(n)} \bar{u}_L^i \gamma^\mu u_L^i + g_{u^iR}^{Z(n)} \bar{u}_R^i \gamma^\mu u_R^i + g_{d^iL}^{Z(n)} \bar{d}_L^i \gamma^\mu d_L^i + g_{d^iR}^{Z(n)} \bar{d}_R^i \gamma^\mu d_R^i \\
& \qquad + g_{\nu^iL}^{Z(n)} \bar{\nu}_L^i \gamma^\mu \nu_L^i + g_{\nu^iR}^{Z(n)} \bar{\nu}_R^i \gamma^\mu \nu_R^i + g_{e^iL}^{Z(n)} \bar{e}_L^i \gamma^\mu e_L^i + g_{e^iR}^{Z(n)} \bar{e}_R^i \gamma^\mu e_R^i \Big] \\
& + \sum_{n,i} Z_{R\mu}^{(n)} \Big[g_{u^iL}^{Z_R^{(n)}} \bar{u}_L^i \gamma^\mu u_L^i + g_{u^iR}^{Z_R^{(n)}} \bar{u}_R^i \gamma^\mu u_R^i + g_{d^iL}^{Z_R^{(n)}} \bar{d}_L^i \gamma^\mu d_L^i + g_{d^iR}^{Z_R^{(n)}} \bar{d}_R^i \gamma^\mu d_R^i \\
& \qquad + g_{\nu^iL}^{Z_R^{(n)}} \bar{\nu}_L^i \gamma^\mu \nu_L^i + g_{\nu^iR}^{Z_R^{(n)}} \bar{\nu}_R^i \gamma^\mu \nu_R^i + g_{e^iL}^{Z_R^{(n)}} \bar{e}_L^i \gamma^\mu e_L^i + g_{e^iR}^{Z_R^{(n)}} \bar{e}_R^i \gamma^\mu e_R^i \Big] \qquad (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where the superscript i denotes the generation, i.e., $(u^1, u^2, u^3) = (u, c, t)$, etc. Explicit formulas for the gauge couplings are given in Appendix D. The relevant couplings of the Z' bosons are tabulated in Table 4 and Table 5.

Table 4: Masses, total decay widths and couplings of the Z' bosons to SM particles in the first generation for $\theta_H = 0.114$. Couplings to μ are approximately the same as those to e.

Z'	m(TeV)	Γ(GeV)	$g_{uL}^{Z'}$	$g_{dL}^{Z'}$	$g_{eL}^{Z'}$	$g_{uR}^{Z'}$	$g_{dR}^{Z'}$	$g_{eR}^{Z'}$
Z	0.0912	2.44	0.257	-0.314	-0.200	-0.115	0.0573	0.172
$Z_R^{(1)}$	5.73	482	0	0	0	0.641	-0.321	-0.978
$Z^{(1)}$	6.07	342	-0.0887	0.108	0.0690	-0.466	0.233	0.711
$\gamma^{(1)}$	6.08	886	-0.0724	0.0362	0.109	0.846	-0.423	-1.29
$Z^{(2)}$	9.14	1.29	-0.00727	0.00889	0.00565	-0.00548	0.00274	0.00856

The decay width of the Z' boson is given by

$$\Gamma_{Z'} = \sum_i \frac{m_{Z'}}{12\pi} \left(\frac{\left(g_{iL}^{Z'}\right)^2 + \left(g_{iR}^{Z'}\right)^2}{2} + 2 g_{iL}^{Z'} g_{iR}^{Z'} \frac{m_i^2}{m_{Z'}^2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_i^2}{m_{Z'}^2}} \ . \qquad (4.2)$$

Table 5: Masses, total decay widths and couplings of the Z' bosons to SM particles in the first generation for $\theta_H = 0.073$.

Z'	m(TeV)	Γ(GeV)	$g^{Z'}_{uL}$	$g^{Z'}_{dL}$	$g^{Z'}_{eL}$	$g^{Z'}_{uR}$	$g^{Z'}_{dR}$	$g^{Z'}_{eR}$
$Z^{(1)}_R$	8.00	553	0	0	0	0.588	-0.294	-0.896
$Z^{(1)}$	8.61	494	-0.100	0.123	0.0780	-0.426	0.213	0.650
$\gamma^{(1)}$	8.61	1.04×10^3	-0.0817	0.0408	0.123	0.775	-0.388	-1.18

Here i runs over all fermions including SM fermions and dark fermions. The contribution of its decay to W^+W^- is very small and can be neglected[59]. The evaluated $\Gamma_{Z'}$ for $\theta_H = 0.114$ is summarized in Table 4. It is seen that all of $Z^{(1)}_R$, $Z^{(1)}$, and $\gamma^{(1)}$ have large decay widths ($300 \sim 900\,\mathrm{GeV}$) in quite contrast to the narrow width of the Z boson. It is mainly due to the large couplings of right-handed quarks and leptons.

4.2 Production at LHC

In our study, we calculate the dilepton production cross sections through the Z' boson exchange together with the SM processes mediated by the Z boson and photon. The dependence of the cross section on the final state dilepton invariant mass $M_{\ell\ell}$ is described as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\sigma(pp\to\ell^+\ell^-X)}{dM_{\ell\ell}} &= \sum_q \int_{-1}^{1} d\cos\theta \int_{\frac{M^2_{\ell\ell}}{E^2_{\rm CMS}}}^{1} dx_1 \frac{2M_{\ell\ell}}{x_1 E^2_{\rm CMS}} \\ &\times f_q(x_1, M^2_{\ell\ell}) f_{\bar q}\left(\frac{M^2_{\ell\ell}}{x_1 E^2_{\rm CMS}}, M^2_{\ell\ell}\right) \frac{d\sigma(\bar q q\to\ell^+\ell^-)}{d\cos\theta}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $E_{\rm CMS}$ is the center-of-mass energy of the LHC and f_q's are the parton distribution functions(PDFs) for q quark. In our numerical analysis, we employ CTEQ5M [75] for the PDFs. Formulas to calculate $d\sigma(\bar q q\to\ell^+\ell^-)/d\cos\theta$ are listed in Appendix E.

Figure 5 shows the differential cross section for $pp\to\mu^+\mu^-$ together with the SM cross section mediated by the Z boson and photon for $\theta_H = 0.114$ ($n_F = 5$, $z_L = 10^5$). The deviation from the SM is very small below 3 TeV because the couplings of the Z boson or photon to SM fermions are almost the same as in the SM. For this reason it is difficult to see the signals of the gauge-Higgs unification at 8 TeV LHC experiments. In the case of $\theta_H = 0.251$ ($n_F = 5$, $z_L = 10^7$), the deviation from the SM is large. The Z' masses are around 3 TeV (See Table 1.) and the decay widths of $Z^{(1)}_R$,$Z^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}$ are 341, 221 and

629 GeV. The masses of Z' bosons are heavier than the plot range of Fig. 5. However the decay widths of Z' bosons are very wide and the deviation from the SM is large. Therefore the $\theta_H = 0.251$ case is excluded by the 8 TeV LHC experiments.



Figure 5: The differential cross section multiplied by an integrated luminosity of 20.6 fb^{-1} for $pp \to \mu^+\mu^- X$ at the 8 TeV LHC for $\theta_H = 0.114$ (red solid curve) and for $\theta_H = 0.251$ (blue dashed curve). The black dashed line represents the SM background.

On the other hand, at 14 TeV LHC experiments, we expect the signals. Figure 6 shows the differential cross section $d\sigma/dM_{\mu\mu}$ in the range $3\,\text{TeV} < M_{\mu\mu} < 9\,\text{TeV}$ for $\theta_H = 0.114$ and 0.073. The contributions from $Z^{(2)}$ boson and higher KK modes are negligible because the couplings are very small and the widths are very narrow (see Table 4). One sees a very large deviation from the SM, which can be detected at the upgraded LHC.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper we have explored LHC signals of the $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification, particularly dilepton events associated with the production and decay of the Z' bosons at 14 TeV LHC. In the $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification the four-dimensional Higgs boson appears as a part of the extra-dimensional component of the $SO(5)$ gauge fields, and the quark or lepton multiplets are introduced in the vector representation of $SO(5)$. In addition, dark fermions are introduced in the spinor representation of $SO(5)$, which are vital to realize the observed unstable Higgs boson.

The four-dimensional Higgs boson is the fluctuation mode of the Aharonov-Bohm phase θ_H in the fifth dimension. The phase θ_H, determined by the location of the global minimum



Figure 6: The differential cross section for $pp \to \mu^+\mu^- X$ at the 14 TeV LHC for $\theta_H = 0.114$ (red solid curve) and for $\theta_H = 0.073$ (blue dashed curve) . The nearly straight line represents the SM background.

of the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$, plays an important role in determining the couplings among gauge boson, quarks and leptons, and the Higgs boson. It has been known that the value $\theta_H < 0.2$ is consistent with the data at low energies.

The shape of $V_{\rm eff}(\theta_H)$, and therefore the location of global minimum θ_H, sensitively depends on the details of the dark fermion sector, which could spoil the predictability of the gauge-Higgs unification scenario. On the contrary, we have shown that there holds the universality in the relations among $m_{\rm KK}$, $m_{Z^{(1)}}$, $m_{\gamma^{(1)}}$, $m_{Z_R^{(1)}}$, λ_3, λ_4 and θ_H, irrespective of the details of the dark fermion sector. For instance, one finds that $m_{Z^{(1)}}(\theta_H) \sim 1044\,{\rm GeV}/(\sin\theta_H)^{0.804}$. The universality implies that once the value of, say, $m_{Z^{(1)}}$ is determined from experiments, then other quantities such as λ_3 and λ_4 are predicted to be tested.

In the $SO(5)\times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification the three gauge bosons, $Z_R^{(1)}$, $Z^{(1)}$, and $\gamma^{(1)}$, appear as Z' bosons in dilepton events at LHC. It is interesting that the masses of these bosons turn out around 6 (8 TeV) for $\theta_H = 0.114$ (0.073), which is exactly in the region explored at the 14 TeV LHC. As right-handed quarks and leptons have large couplings to those Z' bosons, the widths of those bosons become large; the decay widths of $Z_R^{(1)}$, $Z^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}$ are 482, 342 and 886 GeV (553, 494 GeV and 1.04 TeV) for $\theta_H = 0.114$ (0.073). Notice the relatively large ratio of width/mass $= 0.06 \sim 0.15$ in contrast to that of the Z boson. As the difference in masses of $Z^{(1)}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}$ is small, there should appear two peaks in dilepton events. Due to the large widths the excess of events over those expected in

the SM should be seen in much wider range of energies. For $\theta_H = 0.114$, for instance, an excess due to the broad widths of the Z' resonances should be observed above 3 TeV in the dilepton invariant mass. The discovery of the Z' bosons in the 3 - 9 TeV range would give strong support for the gauge-Higgs unification, signaling the existence of extra dimensions.

In the present paper we have focused on the LHC signals classified in the universality class, specifically on the Z' events. There are other collider signals [76]-[81] such as the forward-backward asymmetry (at Tevatron) and the charge asymmetry (at LHC) in $t\bar{t}$ pair production and QCD parity violation at LHC[82]-[86]. We hope to report on these issues in the near future.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI GRANTS, No. 23104009 (Y.H. and Y.O.), No. 21244036 (Y.H.) and No. 2518610 (T.S.). H.H. is supported by NRF Research Grant 2012R1A2A1A01006053 (HH) of the Republic of Korea.

A BASE FUNCTIONS

Mode functions for KK towers are expressed in terms of Bessel functions. For gauge fields we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C(z;\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{2}\lambda z z_L F_{1,0}(\lambda z, \lambda z_L) \ , \quad C'(z;\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{2}\lambda^2 z z_L F_{0,0}(\lambda z, \lambda z_L) \ , \\
&S(z;\lambda) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\lambda z F_{1,1}(\lambda z, \lambda z_L) \ , \quad S'(z;\lambda) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\lambda^2 z F_{0,1}(\lambda z, \lambda z_L) \ , \\
&\hat{S}(z;\lambda) = \frac{C(1;\lambda)}{S(1;\lambda)}\, S(z;\lambda) \ , \\
&F_{\alpha,\beta}(u,v) = J_\alpha(u) Y_\beta(v) - Y_\alpha(u) J_\beta(v) \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.1}
$$

These functions satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C(z_L;\lambda) = z_L \ , \quad C'(z_L;\lambda) = 0 \ , \quad S(z_L;\lambda) = 0 \ , \quad S'(z_L;\lambda) = \lambda \ , \\
&CS' - SC' = \lambda z \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.2}
$$

For fermions with a bulk mass parameter c we define

$$
\begin{pmatrix} C_L \\ S_L \end{pmatrix} (z;\lambda,c) = \pm\frac{\pi}{2}\lambda\sqrt{z z_L} F_{c+\frac{1}{2},c\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda z, \lambda z_L) \ ,
$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_R \\ S_R \end{pmatrix}(z;\lambda,c) = \mp\frac{\pi}{2}\lambda\sqrt{zz_L}F_{c-\frac{1}{2},c\pm\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda z,\lambda z_L)\ . \tag{A.3}$$

They satisfy

$$D_+(c)\begin{pmatrix} C_L \\ S_L \end{pmatrix} = \lambda\begin{pmatrix} S_R \\ C_R \end{pmatrix},\quad D_-(c)\begin{pmatrix} C_R \\ S_R \end{pmatrix} = \lambda\begin{pmatrix} S_L \\ C_L \end{pmatrix},$$
$$D_\pm(c) = \pm\frac{d}{dz} + \frac{c}{z}\ , \tag{A.4}$$

and

$$C_R = C_L = 1\ ,\quad S_R = S_L = 0 \quad \text{for } z = z_L\ ,$$
$$C_LC_R - S_LS_R = 1\ ,\quad S_L(z;\lambda,-c) = -S_R(z;\lambda,c)\ . \tag{A.5}$$

B KK TOWERS OF BOSONIC FIELDS

B.1 Twisted gauge

To find the spectrum and wave function of each KK mode for $\theta_H \neq 0$, it is convenient to move to the twisted gauge in which $\langle\tilde{A}_z\rangle = 0$. This is achieved by a gauge transformation $\tilde{A}_M = \Omega A_M\Omega^{-1} + 1/g_A\Omega\partial_M\Omega^{-1}$ with $\partial_z\Omega = -ig_A\Omega\langle A_z\rangle$;

$$\Omega = \exp\left\{ig_A\theta_H f_H T^{\hat{4}}\int_y^L dy\, u_H(y)\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left\{i\theta_H\frac{z_L^2 - z^2}{z_L^2 - 1}\sqrt{2}\,T^{\hat{4}}\right\} \quad \text{for } 1 \le z \le z_L\ . \tag{B.1}$$

The orbifold boundary condition matrices P_j at $y = y_j$ $[(y_0, y_1) = (0, L)]$ change from P_j to $\tilde{P}_j = \Omega(y_j - y)P_0\Omega(y_j + y)^{-1}$. Ω in (B.1) has been chosen such that $\Omega|_{y=L} = 1$ and the orbifold boundary condition at the TeV brane remains unchanged. On the other hand, the orbifold boundary condition matrix P_0 changes to $\tilde{P}_0 = \Omega(-y)P_0\Omega(y)^{-1}$;

$$\tilde{P}_0^{\rm vec} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & & & \\ & -1 & & & & \\ & & -1 & & & \\ & & & -\cos 2\theta_H & \sin 2\theta_H \\ & & & \sin 2\theta_H & \cos 2\theta_H \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\tilde{P}_0^{\rm sp} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_H & -i\sin\theta_H \\ i\sin\theta_H & -\cos\theta_H \end{pmatrix}\otimes I_2\ . \tag{B.2}$$

In the twisted gauge the fields satisfy free equations at the tree level, but obey the θ_H-dependent boundary condition specified by (B.2). Wave functions of the four-dimensional components of the gauge fields are expressed in terms of either $C(z;\lambda)$ or $S(z;\lambda)$ in (A.1), depending on the boundary condition (Neumann or Dirichelet) at the TeV brane. Wave functions of the fifth-dimensional components of the gauge fields, on the other hand, are expressed in terms of either $C'(z;\lambda)$ or $S'(z;\lambda)$. The boundary condition at the Planck brane at $z = 1$ mixes fields through (B.2) and determines eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ in each KK tower.

B.2 KK towers of A_μ and B_μ

$A_\mu(x,z)$ and $B_\mu^X(x,z)$ are expanded in KK towers.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{A}_\mu(x,z) + \frac{g_B}{g_A} B_\mu(x,z) T_B \\
&\qquad = \hat{W}_\mu^- + \hat{W}_\mu^+ + \hat{Z}_\mu + \hat{A}_\mu^\gamma + \hat{W}_{R\mu}^- + \hat{W}_{R\mu}^+ + \hat{Z}_{R\mu} + \hat{A}_\mu^{\hat{4}} \,, \qquad \text{(B.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{W}_\mu^\mp = \sum_n W_\mu^{(n)\mp}(x) \left\{ h^L_{W^{(n)}} \frac{T^{1_L} \mp i T^{2_L}}{\sqrt{2}} + h^R_{W^{(n)}} \frac{T^{1_R} \mp i T^{2_R}}{\sqrt{2}} + \hat{h}_{W^{(n)}} \frac{T^{\hat{1}} \mp i T^{\hat{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\},$$

$$\hat{Z}_\mu = \sum_n Z_\mu^{(n)}(x) \left\{ h^L_{Z^{(n)}} T^{3_L} + h^R_{Z^{(n)}} T^{3_R} + \hat{h}_{Z^{(n)}} T^{\hat{3}} + \frac{g_B}{g_A} h^B_{Z^{(n)}} T_B \right\},$$

$$\hat{A}_\mu^\gamma = \sum_n A_\mu^{\gamma(n)}(x) \left\{ h^L_{\gamma^{(n)}} T^{3_L} + h^R_{\gamma^{(n)}} T^{3_R} + \frac{g_B}{g_A} h^B_{\gamma^{(n)}} T_B \right\},$$

$$\hat{W}_{R\mu}^\mp = \sum_n W_{R\mu}^{(n)\mp}(x) \left\{ h^L_{W_R^{(n)}} \frac{T^{1_L} \mp i T^{2_L}}{\sqrt{2}} + h^R_{W_R^{(n)}} \frac{T^{1_R} \mp i T^{2_R}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\},$$

$$\hat{Z}_{R\mu} = \sum_n Z_{R\mu}^{(n)}(x) \left\{ h^L_{Z_R^{(n)}} T^{3_L} + h^R_{Z_R^{(n)}} T^{3_R} + \frac{g_B}{g_A} h^B_{Z_R^{(n)}} T_B \right\},$$

$$\hat{A}_\mu^{\hat{4}} = \sum_n A_\mu^{\hat{4}(n)}(x) \hat{h}_{A^{\hat{4}(n)}} T^{\hat{4}},$$

$$\hat{W}^\pm = \frac{\hat{W}^1 \mp i \hat{W}^2}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \quad \hat{W}_R^\pm = \frac{\hat{W}_R^1 \mp i \hat{W}_R^2}{\sqrt{2}} \,. \qquad \text{(B.4)}$$

The two gauge coupling constants are related to the weak mixing angle θ_W by

$$c_\phi = \frac{g_A}{\sqrt{g_A^2+g_B^2}}\ , \quad s_\phi = \frac{g_B}{\sqrt{g_A^2+g_B^2}}\ , \quad \cos\theta_W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+s_\phi^2}}\ . \tag{B.5}$$

The KK spectrum and corresponding wave functions for each tower are summarized as follows.

W tower The spectrum of the W tower is given by

$$2S(1;\lambda_{W^{(n)}})C'(1;\lambda_{W^{(n)}}) + \lambda_{W^{(n)}}\sin^2\theta_H = 0\ . \tag{B.6}$$

which includes the W boson as the lowest mode $W = W^{(0)}$. The mode functions are

$$\begin{pmatrix} h^L_{W^{(n)}}(z) \\ h^R_{W^{(n)}}(z) \\ \hat{h}_{W^{(n)}}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\,r_{W^{(n)}}}} \begin{pmatrix} (1+\cos\theta_H)C(z;\lambda_{W^{(n)}}) \\ (1-\cos\theta_H)C(z;\lambda_{W^{(n)}}) \\ -\sqrt{2}\sin\theta_H \hat{S}(z;\lambda_{W^{(n)}}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$r_{W^{(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L}\frac{dz}{kz}\left\{(1+\cos^2\theta_H)C(z;\lambda_{W^{(n)}})^2 + \sin^2\theta_H\hat{S}(z;\lambda_{W^{(n)}})^2\right\}. \tag{B.7}$$

Z tower KK spectrum of the Z tower is given by

$$2S(1;\lambda_{Z^{(n)}})C'(1;\lambda_{Z^{(n)}}) + (1+s_\phi^2)\lambda_{Z^{(n)}}\sin^2\theta_H = 0\ , \tag{B.8}$$

which includes the Z boson $Z = Z^{(0)}$. The mode functions of the Z tower are

$$\begin{pmatrix} h^L_{Z^{(n)}}(z) \\ h^R_{Z^{(n)}}(z) \\ \hat{h}_{Z^{(n)}}(z) \\ h^B_{Z^{(n)}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+s_\phi^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\,r_{Z^{(n)}}}} \begin{pmatrix} \left\{(1+s_\phi^2)(1+\cos\theta_H) - 2s_\phi^2\right\}C(z;\lambda_{Z^{(n)}}) \\ \left\{(1+s_\phi^2)(1-\cos\theta_H) - 2s_\phi^2\right\}C(z;\lambda_{Z^{(n)}}) \\ -\sqrt{2}(1+s_\phi^2)\sin\theta_H\hat{S}(z;\lambda_{Z^{(n)}}) \\ -2s_\phi c_\phi C(z;\lambda_{Z^{(n)}}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{Z^{(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L}\frac{dz}{kz}\Big\{ & c_\phi^2 C(z;\lambda_{Z^{(n)}})^2 \\ & + (1+s_\phi^2)[\cos^2\theta_H C(z;\lambda_{Z^{(n)}})^2 + \sin^2\theta_H\hat{S}(z;\lambda_{Z^{(n)}})^2\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

Photon tower The spectrum of the photon tower is given by

$$C'(1;\lambda_{\gamma^{(n)}}) = 0\ , \tag{B.10}$$

which includes a massless photon $\lambda_{\gamma^{(0)}} = 0$. The mode functions are

$$\begin{pmatrix} h^L_{\gamma^{(n)}}(z) \\ h^R_{\gamma^{(n)}}(z) \\ h^B_{\gamma^{(n)}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+s_\phi^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{\gamma^{(n)}}}} \begin{pmatrix} s_\phi C(z;\lambda_{\gamma^{(n)}}) \\ s_\phi C(z;\lambda_{\gamma^{(n)}}) \\ c_\phi \end{pmatrix} C(z;\lambda_{\gamma^{(n)}}),$$

$$r_{\gamma^{(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L} \frac{dz}{kz} C(z;\lambda_{\gamma^{(n)}})^2. \tag{B.11}$$

In particular for the photon $\gamma = \gamma^{(0)}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_\gamma^L(z) \\ h_\gamma^R(z) \\ h_\gamma^B \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+s_\phi^2)L}} \begin{pmatrix} s_\phi \\ s_\phi \\ c_\phi \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.12}$$

W_R tower The spectrum of the W_R tower is given by

$$C(1;\lambda_{W_R^{(n)}}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.13}$$

The corresponding mode functions are

$$\begin{pmatrix} h^L_{W_R^{(n)}}(z) \\ h^R_{W_R^{(n)}}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\, r_{W_R^{(n)}}}} \begin{pmatrix} +1-\cos\theta_H \\ -1-\cos\theta_H \end{pmatrix} C(z;\lambda_{W_R^{(n)}}) \ ,$$

$$r_{W_R^{(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L} \frac{dz}{kz} C(z;\lambda_{W_R^{(n)}})^2 \ . \tag{B.14}$$

Z_R tower The spectrum of the Z_R tower is given by

$$C(1;\lambda_{Z_R^{(n)}}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.15}$$

turning out identical to the W_R tower spectrum, $\lambda_{Z_R^{(n)}} = \lambda_{W_R^{(n)}}$. The corresponding mode functions are

$$\begin{pmatrix} h^L_{Z_R^{(n)}}(z) \\ h^R_{Z_R^{(n)}}(z) \\ h^B_{Z_R^{(n)}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(1+2t_\phi^2)\cos^2\theta_H}\sqrt{2\, r_{Z_R^{(n)}}}} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos\theta_H - 1 \\ -\cos\theta_H + 1 \\ 2t_\phi \cos\theta_H \end{pmatrix} C(z;\lambda_{Z_R^{(n)}}) \ ,$$

$$r_{Z_R^{(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L} \frac{dz}{kz} C(z;\lambda_{Z_R^{(n)}})^2 = r_{W_R^{(n)}}, \quad t_\phi \equiv \frac{s_\phi}{c_\phi} \ . \tag{B.16}$$

$A^{\hat{4}}$ tower The spectrum and wave functions of $A^{\hat{4}}$ tower are

$$S(1;\lambda_{A^{\hat{4}(n)}}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.17}$$

$$h_{A^{\hat{4}(n)}}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{A^{\hat{4}(n)}}}} S(z;\lambda_{A^{\hat{4}(n)}}), \quad r_{A^{\hat{4}(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L} \frac{dz}{kz} S(z;\lambda_{A^{\hat{4}(n)}})^2 \ . \tag{B.18}$$

B.3 KK towers of A_z and B_z

$A_z(x,z)$ and $B_z(x,z)$ are expanded in KK towers as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}_z(x,z) &= \sum_{a=1}^{3}\hat{G}^a + \sum_{a=1}^{3}\hat{D}^a + \hat{H}, \\
\hat{G}^a &= \sum_n G^{a(n)}(x)\left\{u^L_{G^{(n)}}T^{a_L} + u^R_{G^{(n)}}T^{a_R}\right\}, \\
\hat{D}^a &= \sum_n D^{a(n)}(x)\left\{u^L_{D^{(n)}}T^{a_L} + u^R_{D^{(n)}}T^{a_R} + \hat{u}_{D^{(n)}}T^{\hat{a}}\right\}, \\
\hat{H} &= \sum_n H^{(n)}(x)u_{H^{(n)}}T^{\hat{4}}, \\
B_z(x,z) &= \sum_n B^{(n)}(x)u_{B^{(n)}}T_B\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.19}$$

G tower The G tower spectrum and corresponding mode functions are given by

$$C'(1;\lambda_{G^{(n)}}) = 0, \quad \lambda_{G^{(n)}} \neq 0. \tag{B.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u^L_{G^{(n)}} = u^R_{G^{(n)}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{G^{(n)}}}}C'(z;\lambda_{G^{(n)}}), \\
r_{G^{(n)}} &= \int_1^{z_L}\frac{kdz}{z}C'(z;\lambda_{G^{(n)}})^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.21}$$

D tower The spectrum of the D tower is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(1;\lambda_{D^{(n)}})C'(1;\lambda_{D^{(n)}}) + \lambda_{D^{(n)}}\sin^2\theta_H \\
&= C(1;\lambda_{D^{(n)}})S'(1;\lambda_{D^{(n)}}) - \lambda_{D^{(n)}}\cos^2\theta_H = 0\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.22}$$

Corresponding mode functions are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} h^L_{D^{(n)}}(z) \\ h^R_{D^{(n)}}(z) \\ \hat{h}_{D^{(n)}}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\,r_{D^{(n)}}}}\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_H C'(z;\lambda_{D^{(n)}}) \\ -\cos\theta_H C'(z;\lambda_{D^{(n)}}) \\ -\sqrt{2}\sin\theta_H\hat{S}'(z;\lambda_{D^{(n)}}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{S}'(z;\lambda) = \frac{C(1;\lambda)}{S(1;\lambda)}S'(z;\lambda),$$

$$r_{D^{(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L}\frac{kdz}{z}\left\{\cos^2\theta_H C'(z;\lambda_{D^{(n)}})^2 + \sin^2\theta_H\hat{S}'(z;\lambda_{D^{(n)}})^2\right\}. \tag{B.23}$$

Higgs (H) tower The spectrum of the Higgs tower is determined by

$$\lambda_{H^{(n)}}S(1;\lambda_{H^{(n)}}) = 0\ , \tag{B.24}$$

which includes the zero mode $\lambda_{H^{(0)}} = 0$ for the 4D Higgs boson $H = H^{(0)}$. The mode functions are

$$u_{H^{(0)}}(z) = u_H(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k(z_L^2-1)}}\, z \ , \tag{B.25}$$

for the 4D Higgs boson, and

$$u_{H^{(n)}}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{H^{(n)}}}} S'(z;\lambda_{H^{(n)}}), \quad r_{H^{(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L} \frac{kdz}{z} S'(z;\lambda_{H^{(n)}})^2 \ , \tag{B.26}$$

for KK-excited states ($n \geq 1$).

B tower The B tower spectrum and corresponding mode functions are given by

$$C'(1;\lambda_{B^{(n)}}) = 0, \quad \lambda_{B^{(n)}} \neq 0. \tag{B.27}$$

$$u_{B^{(n)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{B^{(n)}}}} C'(z;\lambda_{B^{(n)}}),$$

$$r_{B^{(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L} \frac{kdz}{z} C'(z;\lambda_{B^{(n)}})^2. \tag{B.28}$$

C WAVE FUNCTIONS OF FERMIONS

Wave functions of KK towers of fermions are expressed in terms of $C_L(z;\lambda,c)$ and $S_L(z;\lambda,c)$ in (A.3) for left-handed components and $C_R(z;\lambda,c)$ and $S_R(z;\lambda,c)$ for right-handed components.

C.1 Quark-lepton towers

Wave functions for the KK tower of an up-type quark t (top) are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_L(x,z) \\ B_L(x,z) \\ t_L(x,z) \\ t'_L(x,z) \end{pmatrix} \supset \frac{\sqrt{k}z^2}{\sqrt{r_{t^{(n)}}}} \begin{pmatrix} a_U^{(n)} C_L^{(2)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}}) \\ a_B^{(n)} C_L^{(1)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}}) \\ a_t^{(n)} C_L^{(1)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}}) \\ a_{t'}^{(n)} S_L^{(1)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}}) \end{pmatrix} t_L^{(n)}(x) \equiv \sqrt{k}z^2 \begin{pmatrix} f_{U_L}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{B_L}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{t_L}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{t'_L}^{(n)}(z) \end{pmatrix} t_L^{(n)}(x) \ ,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_R(x,z) \\ B_R(x,z) \\ t_R(x,z) \\ t'_R(x,z) \end{pmatrix} \supset \frac{\sqrt{k}z^2}{\sqrt{r_{t^{(n)}}}} \begin{pmatrix} a_U^{(n)} S_R^{(2)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}}) \\ a_B^{(n)} S_R^{(1)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}}) \\ a_t^{(n)} S_R^{(1)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}}) \\ a_{t'}^{(n)} C_R^{(1)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}}) \end{pmatrix} t_R^{(n)}(x) \equiv \sqrt{k}z^2 \begin{pmatrix} f_{U_R}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{B_R}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{t_R}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{t'_R}^{(n)}(z) \end{pmatrix} t_R^{(n)}(x) \ ,$$

$$r_{t^{(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L} dz \Big\{ a_U^{(n)2} C_L^{(2)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}})^2 + (a_B^{(n)2} + a_t^{(n)2}) C_L^{(1)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}})^2 + a_{t'}^{(n)2} S_L^{(1)}(z,\lambda_{t^{(n)}})^2 \Big\}$$

$$= \int_1^{z_L} dz \Big\{ a_U^{(n)2} S_R^{(2)}(z, \lambda_{t^{(n)}})^2 + (a_B^{(n)2} + a_t^{(n)2}) S_R^{(1)}(z, \lambda_{t^{(n)}})^2 + a_{t'}^{(n)2} C_R^{(1)}(z, \lambda_{t^{(n)}})^2 \Big\}. \tag{C.1}$$

Here $C_L^{(i)}(z, \lambda_{t^{(n)}}) = C_L(z; \lambda_{t^{(n)}}, c_i)$, $S_R^{(i)}(z, \lambda_{b^{(n)}}) = S_R(z; \lambda_{b^{(n)}}, c_i)$, etc., and other towers of $Q_{\rm EM} = \frac{2}{3}e$ fermions have been suppressed. The common factors are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_U^{(n)} \\ a_B^{(n)} \\ a_t^{(n)} \\ a_{t'}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}\tilde{\mu}^q C_L^{(1)}/\mu_2^q C_L^{(2)} \\ (1-\cos\theta_H)/\sqrt{2} \\ (1+\cos\theta_H)/\sqrt{2} \\ -\sin\theta_H C_L^{(1)}/S_L^{(1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_L^{(i)} \equiv C_L(1; \lambda_{t^{(n)}}, c_i) \ , \quad S_L^{(i)} \equiv S_L(1; \lambda_{t^{(n)}}, c_i) \ , \tag{C.2}$$

where $\lambda_{t^{(n)}}$ satisfies

$$(\mu_2^q)^2 C_L(1; \lambda_{t^{(n)}}, c_2) \Big\{ S_R(1; \lambda_{t^{(n)}}, c_1) + \frac{\sin^2\theta_H}{2 S_L(1; \lambda_{t^{(n)}}, c_1)} \Big\}$$
$$+ (\tilde{\mu}^q)^2 C_L(1; \lambda_{t^{(n)}}, c_1) S_R(1; \lambda_{t^{(n)}}, c_2) = 0 \ , \tag{C.3}$$

or for $c_1 = c_2 \equiv c_t$

$$2\Big\{ 1 + \Big(\frac{\mu_2^q}{\tilde{\mu}^q}\Big)^2 \Big\} S_L(1; \lambda_{t^{(n)}}, c_t) S_R(1; \lambda_{t^{(n)}}, c_t) + \Big(\frac{\mu_2^q}{\tilde{\mu}^q}\Big)^2 \sin^2\theta_H = 0 \ . \tag{C.4}$$

For a down-type quark b (bottom) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_L(x,z) \\ X_L(x,z) \\ D_L(x,z) \\ b'_L(x,z) \end{pmatrix} \supset \frac{\sqrt{k}z^2}{\sqrt{r_{b^{(n)}}}} \begin{pmatrix} a_b^{(n)} C_L^{(1)}(z, \lambda_{b^{(n)}}) \\ a_X^{(n)} C_L^{(2)}(z, \lambda_{b^{(n)}}) \\ a_D^{(n)} C_L^{(2)}(z, \lambda_{b^{(n)}}) \\ a_{b'}^{(n)} S_L^{(2)}(z, \lambda_{b^{(n)}}) \end{pmatrix} b_L^{(n)}(x) \equiv \sqrt{k}z^2 \begin{pmatrix} f_{b_L}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{X_L}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{D_L}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{b'_L}^{(n)}(z) \end{pmatrix} b_L^{(n)}(x) \ ,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_R(x,z) \\ X_R(x,z) \\ D_R(x,z) \\ b'_R(x,z) \end{pmatrix} \supset \frac{\sqrt{k}z^2}{\sqrt{r_{b^{(n)}}}} \begin{pmatrix} a_b^{(n)} S_R^{(1)}(z, \lambda_{b^{(n)}}) \\ a_X^{(n)} S_R^{(2)}(z, \lambda_{b^{(n)}}) \\ a_D^{(n)} S_R^{(2)}(z, \lambda_{b^{(n)}}) \\ a_{b'}^{(n)} C_R^{(2)}(z, \lambda_{b^{(n)}}) \end{pmatrix} b_R^{(n)}(x) \equiv \sqrt{k}z^2 \begin{pmatrix} f_{b_R}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{X_R}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{D_R}^{(n)}(z) \\ f_{b'_R}^{(n)}(z) \end{pmatrix} b_R^{(n)}(x) \ ,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_b^{(n)} \\ a_X^{(n)} \\ a_D^{(n)} \\ a_{b'}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}\mu_2^q C_L^{(2)}/\tilde{\mu}^q C_L^{(1)} \\ (1-\cos\theta_H)/\sqrt{2} \\ (1+\cos\theta_H)/\sqrt{2} \\ \sin\theta_H C_L^{(2)}/S_L^{(2)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_L^{(i)} \equiv C_L(1;\lambda_{b^{(n)}},c_i), \quad S_L^{(i)} \equiv S_L(1;\lambda_{b^{(n)}},c_i)\ ,$$

$$r_{b^{(n)}} = \int_1^{z_L} dz\Big\{a_b^{(n)2}C_L^{(1)}(z,\lambda_{b^{(n)}})^2 + (a_X^{(n)2}+a_D^{(n)2})C_L^{(2)}(z,\lambda_{b^{(n)}})^2 + a_{b'}^{(n)2}S_L^{(2)}(z,\lambda_{b^{(n)}})^2\Big\}$$

$$= \int_1^{z_L} dz\Big\{a_b^{(n)2}S_R^{(1)}(z,\lambda_{b^{(n)}})^2 + (a_X^{(n)2}+a_D^{(n)2})S_R^{(2)}(z,\lambda_{b^{(n)}})^2 + a_{b'}^{(n)2}C_R^{(2)}(z,\lambda_{b^{(n)}})^2\Big\}. \quad \text{(C.5)}$$

The spectrum is determined by

$$(\tilde{\mu}^q)^2 C_L(1;\lambda_{b^{(n)}},c_1)\left[S_R(1;\lambda_{b^{(n)}},c_2) + \frac{\sin^2\theta_H}{2S_L(1;\lambda_{b^{(n)}},c_2)}\right]$$
$$+(\mu_2^q)^2 C_L(1;\lambda_{b^{(n)}},c_2)S_R(1;\lambda_{b^{(n)}},c_1) = 0\ , \quad \text{(C.6)}$$

or for $c_1 = c_2 = c_t$

$$2\left\{1+\left(\frac{\mu_2^q}{\tilde{\mu}^q}\right)^2\right\}S_L(1;\lambda_{b^{(n)}},c_t)S_R(1;\lambda_{b^{(n)}},c_t) + \sin^2\theta_H = 0. \quad \text{(C.7)}$$

For a lepton mupltiplet (ν_τ,τ), the wave functions are given by the following replacement rules;

$$\begin{pmatrix}U\\B\\t\\t'\end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix}\nu_\tau\\L_{2Y}\\L_{3X}\\\nu'_\tau\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}b\\D\\X\\b'\end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix}L_{3Y}\\\tau\\L_{1Y}\\\tau'\end{pmatrix},$$

$$(\tilde{\mu}^q,\mu_2^q) \to (\mu_3^\ell,\tilde{\mu}^\ell)\ , \quad (\mu_3^q,\mu_1^q) \to (\mu_1^\ell,\mu_2^\ell)\ ,$$

$$(c_1,c_2) \to (c_4,c_3)\ . \quad \text{(C.8)}$$

C.2 Dark fermions ($SO(5)$-spinor fermions)

The spectrum of the KK tower of the dark fermion Ψ_{F_i} is determined by

$$C_L(1;\lambda_{i,n},c_{F_i})C_R(1;\lambda_{i,n},c_{F_i}) - \sin^2\frac{\theta_H}{2} = 0\ . \quad \text{(C.9)}$$

Its KK expansion is given by

$$\Psi_{F_i,R}(x,z) = \sqrt{k}z^2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\begin{pmatrix}f_{i,lR}^{(n)}(z)\\0\\f_{i,rR}^{(n)}(z)\\0\end{pmatrix}F_{i,R}^{+(n)}(x) + \begin{pmatrix}0\\f_{i,lR}^{(n)}(z)\\0\\f_{i,rR}^{(n)}(z)\end{pmatrix}F_{i,R}^{0(n)}(x)\right\},$$

$$\Psi_{F_i,L}(x,z) = \sqrt{k}z^2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\begin{pmatrix}f_{i,lL}^{(n)}(z)\\0\\f_{i,rL}^{(n)}(z)\\0\end{pmatrix}F_{i,L}^{+(n)}(x) + \begin{pmatrix}0\\f_{i,lL}^{(n)}(z)\\0\\f_{i,rL}^{(n)}(z)\end{pmatrix}F_{i,L}^{0(n)}(x)\right\}, \quad \text{(C.10)}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} f^{(n)}_{i,lL}(z) \\ f^{(n)}_{i,lR}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta_H S_L(1)}{\sqrt{r_i}} \begin{pmatrix} C_L(z) \\ S_R(z) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_H C_R(1)}{\sqrt{r'_i}} \begin{pmatrix} C_L(z) \\ S_R(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} f^{(n)}_{i,rL}(z) \\ f^{(n)}_{i,rR}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta_H C_L(1)}{\sqrt{r_i}} \begin{pmatrix} S_L(z) \\ C_R(z) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta_H S_R(1)}{\sqrt{r'_i}} \begin{pmatrix} S_L(z) \\ C_R(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &= \int_1^{z_L} dz \left\{ \sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H S_L(1)^2 C_L(z)^2 + \cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H C_L(1)^2 S_L(z)^2 \right\} \\ &= \int_1^{z_L} dz \left\{ \sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H S_L(1)^2 S_R(z)^2 + \cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H C_L(1)^2 C_R(z)^2 \right\}, \\ r'_i &= \int_1^{z_L} dz \left\{ \cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H C_R(1)^2 C_L(z)^2 + \sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H S_R(1)^2 S_L(z)^2 \right\} \\ &= \int_1^{z_L} dz \left\{ \cos^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H C_R(1)^2 S_R(z)^2 + \sin^2\tfrac{1}{2}\theta_H S_R(1)^2 C_R(z)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

Here $C_L(z) = C_L(z; \lambda_{i,n}, c_{F_i})$, $S_R(z) = S_R(z; \lambda_{i,n}, c_{F_i})$, etc.

D GAUGE COUPLINGS

In this appendix we summarize the couplings of quarks and leptons to the gauge bosons and their KK excited states, which are necessary in evaluating dilepton events associated with the production of the Z' bosons in Sec. 4. All four-dimensional gauge couplings of quarks and leptons are obtained from

$$\int_1^{z_L} dz \sqrt{G}\, e_m{}^\mu \sum_a \bar{\tilde{\Psi}}_a \Gamma^m \big(g_A \tilde{A}_\mu + g_B B_\mu Q_X \big) \tilde{\Psi}_a \tag{D.1}$$

by inserting the wave functions of gauge bosons (B.4) and those of fermions in Appendix C. Contributions coming from the interactions of the brane fermions are negligibly small, and can be dropped below.

D.1 $\gamma \bar{f} f$ couplings

The couplings between the n th KK photon and quarks are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & A^{\gamma(n)}_\mu(x) \int_1^{z_L} dz g_A \sum_{i=1,2} \bar{\Psi}_i \left\{ h^L_{\gamma^{(n)}} T^{3_L} + h^R_{\gamma^{(n)}} T^{3_R} + \frac{g_B}{g_A} h^B_{\gamma^{(n)}} Q_X \right\} \gamma^\mu \Psi_i \\ & \supset A^{\gamma(n)}_\mu(x)\, g_w \sqrt{L} \int_1^{z_L} dz \Bigg[\bar{t}_L \gamma^\mu t_L(x) \Bigg\{ \frac{1}{2} h^L_{\gamma^{(n)}} \left(f_{t_L} f_{t_L} + f_{U_L} f_{U_L} - f_{B_L} f_{B_L} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{B_L}f_{B_L}+f_{U_L}f_{U_L}-f_{t_L}f_{t_L}\right)\\
&+\frac{g_B}{3g_A}h^B_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(2f_{B_L}f_{B_L}+2f_{t_L}f_{t_L}+2f_{t'_L}f_{t'_L}-f_{U_L}f_{U_L}\right)\Big\}\\
&+\bar{b}_L\gamma^\mu b_L(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{X_L}f_{X_L}-f_{b_L}f_{b_L}-f_{D_L}f_{D_L}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{D_L}f_{D_L}-f_{X_L}f_{X_L}-f_{b_L}f_{b_L}\right)\\
&+\frac{g_B}{3g_A}h^B_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(2f_{b_L}f_{b_L}-f_{D_L}f_{D_L}-f_{b'_L}f_{b'_L}-f_{X_L}f_{X_L}\right)\Big\}\Big]+(L\to R)\ . \qquad \text{(D.2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$(L\to R)$ means that the wave functions of the left-handed fermions (f_{iL}) are changed to those of the right-handed fermions (f_{iR}). The couplings between the photon ($n=0$) and fermions are the same as those in the SM. Similarly the couplings between the n th KK photon and leptons are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&A^{\gamma(n)}_\mu(x)\,g_w\sqrt{L}\int_1^{z_L}dz\Big[\bar{\nu}_{\tau L}\gamma^\mu\nu_{\tau L}(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{\nu_{\tau L}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}+f_{L_{3X,L}}f_{L_{3X,L}}-f_{L_{2Y,L}}f_{L_{2Y,L}}\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{\nu_{\tau L}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}+f_{L_{2Y,L}}f_{L_{2Y,L}}-f_{L_{3X,L}}f_{L_{3X,L}}\right)-\frac{g_B}{g_A}h^B_{\gamma^{(n)}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}\Big\}\\
&\quad+\bar{\tau}_L\gamma^\mu\tau_L(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}-f_{L_{3Y,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}-f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}-f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}-f_{L_{3Y,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}\right)\\
&\quad-\frac{g_B}{g_A}h^B_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}+f_{\tau'_L}f_{\tau'_L}+f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}\right)\Big\}\Big]+(L\to R)\\
&=A^{\gamma(n)}_\mu(x)\,g_w\sqrt{L}\int_1^{z_L}dz\bar{\tau}_L\gamma^\mu\tau_L(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}-f_{L_{3Y,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}-f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}-f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}-f_{L_{3Y,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}\right)\\
&\quad-\frac{g_B}{g_A}h^B_{\gamma^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}+f_{\tau'_L}f_{\tau'_L}+f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}\right)\Big\}+(L\to R)\ . \qquad \text{(D.3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last equality, the use of the explicit form of the wave functions ν_τ and the coupling relation (B.5) has been made. Neutrinos do not couple to $\gamma^{(n)}$ as expected.

D.2 $Z\bar{f}f$ couplings

The couplings between $Z^{(n)}$ and quarks are given by

$$
Z^{(n)}_\mu(x)\,g_w\sqrt{L}\int_1^{z_L}dz\Big[\bar{t}_L\gamma^\mu t_L(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{t_L}f_{t_L}+f_{U_L}f_{U_L}-f_{B_L}f_{B_L}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{B_L}f_{B_L}+f_{U_L}f_{U_L}-f_{t_L}f_{t_L}\right)+\hat{h}_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{B_L}f_{t'_L}+f_{t_L}f_{t'_L}\right)\\
&+\frac{g_B}{3g_A}h^B_{Z^{(n)}}\left(2f_{B_L}f_{B_L}+2f_{t_L}f_{t_L}+2f_{t'_L}f_{t'_L}-f_{U_L}f_{U_L}\right)\Big\}\\
&+\bar{b}_L\gamma^\mu b_L(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{X_L}f_{X_L}-f_{b_L}f_{b_L}-f_{D_L}f_{D_L}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{D_L}f_{D_L}-f_{X_L}f_{X_L}-f_{b_L}f_{b_L}\right)+\hat{h}_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{D_L}f_{b'_L}-f_{X_L}f_{b'_L}\right)\\
&+\frac{g_B}{3g_A}h^B_{Z^{(n)}}\left(2f_{b_L}f_{b_L}-2f_{D_L}f_{D_L}-2f_{b'_L}f_{b'_L}-f_{X_L}f_{X_L}\right)\Big\}\Big]\\
&+(L\to R)\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.4}
$$

Similarly the couplings between $Z^{(n)}$ and leptons are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Z^{(n)}_\mu(x)\,g_w\sqrt{L}\int_1^{z_L}dz\Big[\bar{\nu}_{\tau L}\gamma^\mu\nu_{\tau L}(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{\nu_{\tau L}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}+f_{L_{3X,L}}f_{L_{3X,L}}-f_{L_{2Y,L}}f_{L_{2Y,L}}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{\nu_{\tau L}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}-f_{L_{3X,L}}f_{L_{3X,L}}+f_{L_{2Y,L}}f_{L_{2Y,L}}\right)+\hat{h}_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{L_{2Y,L}}f_{\nu'_{\tau L}}+f_{L_{3X,L}}f_{\nu'_{\tau L}}\right)\\
&-\frac{g_B}{g_A}h^B_{Z^{(n)}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}\Big\}+\bar{\tau}_L\gamma^\mu\tau_L(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}-f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}-f_{L_{3Y,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}-f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}-f_{L_{3Y,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}\right)+\hat{h}_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau'_L}+f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{\tau'_L}\right)\\
&-\frac{g_B}{g_A}h^B_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}+f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}+f_{\tau'_L}f_{\tau'_L}\right)\Big\}\Big]+(L\to R)\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.5}
$$

D.3 $Z_R\bar{f}f$ couplings

The couplings between $Z^{(n)}_R$ and quarks are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Z^{(n)}_{R\mu}(x)\,g_w\sqrt{L}\int_1^{z_L}dz\Big[\bar{t}_L\gamma^\mu t_L(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{Z^{(n)}_R}\left(f_{t_L}f_{t_L}+f_{U_L}f_{U_L}-f_{B_L}f_{B_L}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{Z^{(n)}_R}\left(f_{B_L}f_{B_L}+f_{U_L}f_{U_L}-f_{t_L}f_{t_L}\right)\\
&+\frac{g_B}{3g_A}h^B_{Z^{(n)}_R}\left(2f_{B_L}f_{B_L}+2f_{t_L}f_{t_L}+2f_{t'_L}f_{t'_L}-f_{U_L}f_{U_L}\right)\Big\}\\
&+\bar{b}_L\gamma^\mu b_L(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{Z^{(n)}_R}\left(f_{X_L}f_{X_L}-f_{b_L}f_{b_L}-f_{D_L}f_{D_L}\right)+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{Z^{(n)}_R}\left(f_{D_L}f_{D_L}-f_{X_L}f_{X_L}-f_{b_L}f_{b_L}\right)\\
&+\frac{g_B}{3g_A}h^B_{Z^{(n)}_R}\left(2f_{b_L}f_{b_L}-f_{D_L}f_{D_L}-f_{b'_L}f_{b'_L}-f_{X_L}f_{X_L}\right)\Big\}\Big]+(L\to R)\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.6}
$$

The couplings between $Z^{(n)}_R$ and leptons are

$$
Z^{(n)}_{R\mu}(x)\,g_w\sqrt{L}\int_1^{z_L}dz\Big[\bar{\nu}_{\tau L}\gamma^\mu\nu_{\tau L}(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{\nu_{\tau L}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}+f_{L_{3X,L}}f_{L_{3X,L}}-f_{L_{2Y,L}}f_{L_{2Y,L}}\right)
$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{\nu_{\tau L}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}-f_{L_{3X,L}}f_{L_{3X,L}}+f_{L_{2Y,L}}f_{L_{2Y,L}}\right)+\hat{h}_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{L_{2Y,L}}f_{\nu'_{\tau L}}+f_{L_{3X,L}}f_{\nu'_{\tau L}}\right)$$
$$-\frac{g_B}{g_A}h^B_{Z^{(n)}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}\Big\}+\bar{\tau}_L\gamma^\mu\tau_L(x)\Big\{\frac{1}{2}h^L_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}-f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}-f_{L_{3Y,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}h^R_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}-f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}-f_{L_{3Y,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}\right)+\hat{h}_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau'_L}+f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{\tau'_L}\right)$$
$$-\frac{g_B}{g_A}h^B_{Z^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\tau_L}+f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{L_{1X,L}}+f_{\tau'_L}f_{\tau'_L}\right)\Big\}\Big]+(L\to R)\ ., \tag{D.7}$$

D.4 $A^{\hat{4}}\bar{f}f$ couplings

All couplings of quarks and leptons to $A^{\hat{4}(n)}$ vanish.

D.5 $W\bar{f}f$ couplings

The coupling of quarks and leptons to $W^{(n)-}$ are given by

$$W^{(n)-}_\mu(x)\,\frac{g_w\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{2}}\int_1^{z_L}dz\Big[\bar{b}_L\gamma^\mu t_L(x)\Big\{h^L_{W^{(n)}}\left(f_{b_L}f_{t_L}+f_{D_L}f_{U_L}\right)$$
$$+h^R_{W^{(n)}}\left(f_{b_L}f_{B_L}+f_{U_L}f_{X_L}\right)+\hat{h}_{W^{(n)}}\left(f_{b_L}f_{t'_L}-f_{b'_L}f_{U_L}\right)\Big\}$$
$$\bar{\tau}_L\gamma^\mu\nu_{\tau L}(x)\Big\{h^L_{W^{(n)}}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}+f_{L_{3X,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}\right)+h^R_{W^{(n)}}\left(f_{L_{1X,L}}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}+f_{L_{2Y,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}\right)$$
$$+\hat{h}_{W^{(n)}}\left(f_{L_{3Y,L}}f_{\nu'_{\tau L}}-f_{\tau'_L}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}\right)\Big\}\Big]+(L\to R)\ . \tag{D.8}$$

The couplings of $W^{(n)+}$ are given by the Hermitian conjugate of (D.8).

D.6 $W_R\bar{f}f$ couplings

The couplings between $W^{(n)-}_R$ and quarks are given by

$$W^{(n)-}_{R\mu}(x)\,\frac{g_w\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{2}}\int_1^{z_L}dz\bar{b}_L\gamma^\mu t_L(x)\Big\{h^L_{W^{(n)}_R}\left(f_{b_L}f_{t_L}+f_{D_L}f_{U_L}\right)+h^R_{W^{(n)}_R}\left(f_{b_L}f_{B_L}+f_{U_L}f_{X_L}\right)\Big\}$$
$$+(L\to R)=0\ . \tag{D.9}$$

In the last equality the use of the explicit form for wave functions has been made. Similarly for leptons the couplings are

$$W^{(n)-}_{R\mu}(x)\,\frac{g_w\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{2}}\int_1^{z_L}dz\bar{\tau}_L\gamma^\mu\nu_{\tau L}(x)\Big\{h^L_{W^{(n)}_R}\left(f_{\tau_L}f_{\nu_{\tau L}}+f_{L_{3X,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}\right)$$

$$+h^R_{W_R^{(n)}}\left(f_{\nu_\tau L}f_{L_{1X,L}}+f_{L_{2Y,L}}f_{L_{3Y,L}}\right)\Big\}+(L\to R)=0\ . \tag{D.10}$$

In other words, the couplings between $W_R^{(n)}$ and quarks or leptons vanish.

E HELICITY AMPLITUDES

Here we provide formulas useful for calculations of cross sections discussed in this paper. We begin with the following interaction between a massive gauge boson (A_μ) with mass m_A and a pair of the SM fermions,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}=J^\mu A_\mu=\bar f\gamma^\mu(g^A_{f_L}P_L+g^A_{f_R}P_R)fA_\mu. \tag{E.1}$$

A helicity amplitude for the process $f(\alpha)\bar f(\beta)\to F(\delta)\bar F(\gamma)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha,\beta;\gamma,\delta)=\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{s-m_A^2+im_A\Gamma_A}J^\mu_{\rm in}(\alpha,\beta)J^\nu_{\rm out}(\gamma,\delta), \tag{E.2}$$

where α,β (γ,δ) denote initial (final) spin states for fermion and antifermion, respectively, and Γ_A is the total decay width of the A boson. We have used the 't Hooft–Feynman gauge for the gauge boson propagator and there is no contribution from Nambu-Goldstone modes in the process with the massless initial states.

The currents for initial and final states are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned}J^\mu_{\rm in}(+,-)&=-\sqrt{s}g^A_{f_R}(0,1,i,0)\,,\\ J^\mu_{\rm in}(-,+)&=-\sqrt{s}g^A_{f_L}(0,1,-i,0)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{E.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}J^\mu_{\rm out}(+,-)&=-\sqrt{s}g^A_{F_R}(0,\cos\theta,-i,-\sin\theta),\\ J^\mu_{\rm out}(-,+)&=\sqrt{s}g^A_{F_L}(0,-\cos\theta,-i,\sin\theta)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{E.4}$$

where θ is the scattering angle and $f(F)$ denotes a flavor of the initial (final) state of fermions.

References

[1] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], *"Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**716**, 1 (2012).

[2] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], *"Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**716**, 30 (2012).

[3] H. E. Haber, *"Supersymmetry Part I (Theory)"*, in the 2013 web edition of the Review of Particle Physics, http://pdg.lbl.gov.

[4] A. Djouadi and J. Quevillon, *"The MSSM Higgs sector at a high M_{SUSY}: reopening the low tanβ regime and heavy Higgs searches"*, *JHEP* **1310**, 028 (2013)

[5] N. Arkani-Hamed, A.G. Cohen and H. Georgi, *"Electroweak symmetry breaking from dimensional deconstruction"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**513**, 232 (2001).

[6] D.E. Kaplan and M. Schmaltz, *"The little Higgs from a simple group"*, *JHEP* **0310**, 039 (2003).

[7] M. Schmaltz and D. Tucker-Smith, *"Little Higgs review"*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.***55**, 229 (2005).

[8] P. Kalyniak, T. Martin, and K. Moats, *"Constraining the Bestest Little Higgs model with recent results from the LHC"*, arXiv:1310.5130 [hep-ph].

[9] K. Agashe, R. Contino, and A. Pomarol, *"The Minimal Composite Higgs Model"*, *Nucl. Phys.* B**719**, 165 (2005).

[10] G.F. Giudice, C. Grojean, A. Pomarol, and R. Rattazzi, *"The Strongly-Interacting Light Higgs"*, *JHEP* **0706**, 045 (2007).

[11] C. Anastasiou, E. Furlan, and J. Santiago, *"Realistic Composite Higgs Models"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**79**, 075003 (2009).

[12] B. Gripaios, A. Pomarol, F. Riva, and J. Serra, *"Beyond the Minimal Composite Higgs Model"*, *JHEP* **0904**, 070 (2009).

[13] G. Panico, M. Safari, and M. Serone, *"Simple and Realistic Composite Higgs Models in Flat Extra Dimensions"*, *JHEP* **1102**, 103 (2011).

[14] B. Bellazzini, C. Csaki, and J. Serra, *"Composite Higgses"*, arXiv:1401.2457 [hep-ph].

[15] G. Cacciapaglia and F. Sannino, *"Fundamental Composite (Goldstone) Higgs Dynamics"*, arXiv:1402.0233 [hep-ph].

[16] M. Carena, L. Da Rold, and E. Ponton, *"Minimal Composite Higgs Models at the LHC"*, arXiv:1402.2987 [hep-ph].

[17] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *"Large Mass Hierarchy from a Small Extra Dimension"*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3370 (1999).

[18] K. Agashe, H. Davoudiasl, S. Gopalakrishna, T. Han, G.-Y. Huang, G. Perez, Z.-G. Si, and A. Soni, *"LHC Signals for Warped Electroweak Neutral Gauge Bosons"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**76**, 115015 (2007).

[19] K. Agashe, A. Azatov, T. Han, Y. Li, Z. -G. Si, and L. Zhu, *"LHC Signals for Coset Electroweak Gauge Bosons in Warped/Composite PGB Higgs Models"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**81**, 096002 (2010).

[20] T. Appelquist, H. -C. Cheng, and B. A. Dobrescu, *"Bounds on universal extra dimensions"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**64**, 035002 (2001).

[21] S. Matsumoto, J. Sato, M. Senami, and M. Yamanaka, *"Productions of second Kaluza-Klein gauge bosons in the minimal universal extra dimension model at LHC"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**80**, 056006 (2009)

[22] K. Nishiwaki, K. -y. Oda, N. Okuda, and R. Watanabe, *"A Bound on Universal Extra Dimension Models from up to 2fb^{-1} of LHC Data at 7TeV"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**707**, 506 (2012).

[23] G. Cacciapaglia, A. Deandrea, J. Ellis, J. Marrouche, and L. Panizzi, *"LHC Missing-Transverse-Energy Constraints on Models with Universal Extra Dimensions"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**87**, 075006 (2013).

[24] L. Edelhauser, T. Flacke, and M. Kramer, *"Constraints on models with universal extra dimensions from dilepton searches at the LHC"*, *JHEP* **1308**, 091 (2013).

[25] A. Datta, A. Raychaudhuri, and A. Shaw, *"LHC limits on KK-parity non-conservation in the strong sector of universal extra-dimension models"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**730**, 42 (2014).

[26] G. Servant, *"Status Report on Universal Extra Dimensions After LHC8"*, arXiv:1401.4176 [hep-ph].

[27] Y. Hosotani, *"Dynamical Mass Generation by Compact Extra Dimensions"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**126**, 309 (1983); *"Dynamics of Nonintegrable Phases and Gauge Symmetry Breaking"*, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **190**, 233 (1989).

[28] A. T. Davies and A. McLachlan, *"Gauge group breaking by Wilson loops"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**200**, 305 (1988); *"Congruency class effects in the Hosotani model"*, *Nucl. Phys.* B**317**, 237 (1989).

[29] H. Hatanaka, T. Inami, and C.S. Lim, *"The gauge hierarchy problem and higher dimensional gauge theories"*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A**13**, 2601 (1998).

[30] G. Burdman and Y. Nomura, *"Unification of Higgs and Gauge Fields in Five Dimensions"*, *Nucl. Phys.* B**656**, 3 (2003).

[31] C. Csaki, C. Grojean, and H. Murayama, *"Standard Model Higgs From Higher Dimensional Gauge Fields"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**67**, 085012 (2003).

[32] C. S. Lim, *"The Higgs Particle and Higher-Dimensional Theories"*, *PTEP* **2014** (2014) 2, 02A101

[33] A. D. Medina, N. R. Shah, and C. E. M. Wagner, *"Gauge-Higgs Unification and Radiative Electroweak Symmetry Breaking in Warped Extra Dimensions"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**76**, 095010 (2007).

[34] Y. Hosotani, K. Oda, T. Ohnuma, and Y. Sakamura, *"Dynamical Electroweak Symmetry Breaking in $SO(5) \times U(1)$ Gauge-Higgs Unification with Top and Bottom Quarks"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**78**, 096002 (2008); *Erratum-ibid.* **79**, 079902 (2009).

[35] M. Serone, *"Holographic Methods and Gauge-Higgs Unification in Flat Extra Dimensions"*, *New. J. Phys.* **12**, 075013 (2010).

[36] Y. Hosotani, S. Noda, and N. Uekusa, *"The Electroweak gauge couplings in $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification"*, *Prog. Theoret. Phys.* **123**, 757 (2010).

[37] S. Funatsu, H. Hatanaka, Y. Hosotani, Y. Orikasa, and T. Shimotani, *"Novel universality and Higgs decay $H \to \gamma\gamma, gg$ in the $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**722**, 94 (2013).

[38] Y. Hosotani and Y. Sakamura, *"Anomalous Higgs Couplings in the $SO(5) \times U(1)_{B-L}$ Gauge-Higgs Unification in Warped Spacetime"*, *Prog. Theoret. Phys.* **118**, 935 (2007).

[39] K. Agashe and R. Contino, *"The minimal composite Higgs model and electroweak precision tests"*, *Nucl. Phys.* B**742**, 59 (2006).

[40] N. Haba, Y. Sakamura, and T. Yamashita, *"Tree-level unitarity in Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, *JHEP* **1003**, 069 (2010).

[41] J. Alcaraz *et al.* [ALEPH and DELPHI and L3 and OPAL and LEP Electroweak Working Group Collaborations], *"A Combination of preliminary electroweak measurements and constraints on the standard model"*, hep-ex/0612034.

[42] [ATLAS Collaboration], *"Search for high-mass dilepton resonances in 20 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment"*, ATLAS-CONF-2013-017.

[43] CMS Collaboration [CMS Collaboration], *"Search for Resonances in the Dilepton Mass Distribution in pp Collisions at sqrt(s) = 8 TeV"*, CMS-PAS-EXO-12-061.

[44] Y. Adachi, C.S. Lim, and N. Maru, *"Finite anomalous magnetic moment in the gauge-Higgs unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**76**, 075009 (2007); *"More on the Finiteness of Anomalous Magnetic Moment in the Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**79**, 075018 (2009).

[45] M. Carena, A. D. Medina, B. Panes, N. R. Shah, and C. E. M. Wagner, *"Collider Phenomenology of Gauge-Higgs Unification Scenarios in Warped Extra Dimensions"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**77**, 076003 (2008).

[46] Y. Hosotani and Y. Kobayashi, *"Yukawa Couplings and Effective Interactions in Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**674**, 192 (2009).

[47] M. Carena, A. D. Medina, N. R. Shah, and C. E. M. Wagner, *"Gauge-Higgs Unification, Neutrino Masses and Dark Matter in Warped Extra Dimensions"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**79**, 096010 (2009).

[48] N. Haba, Y. Sakamura, and T. Yamashita, *"Weak boson scattering in Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, *JHEP* **0907**, 020 (2009).

[49] Y. Hosotani, P. Ko, and M. Tanaka, *"Stable Higgs Bosons as Cold Dark Matter"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**680**, 179 (2009).

[50] N. Haba, S. Matsumoto, N. Okada, and T. Yamashita, *"Gauge-Higgs Dark Matter"*, *JHEP* **1003**, 064 (2010).

[51] Y. Adachi, C.S. Lim, and N. Maru, *"Neutron Electric Dipole Moment in the Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**80**, 055025 (2009).

[52] K. Agashe, A. Azatov, T. Han, Y. Li, Z.G. Si, and L. Zhu, *"LHC Signals for Coset Electroweak Gauge Bosons in Warped/Composite PGB Higgs Models"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**81**, 096002 (2010).

[53] N. Uekusa, *"Forward-backward asymmetry on Z resonance in $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification"*, arXiv:0912.1218 [hep-ph].

[54] K. Cheung and J. Song, *"Collider signatures of the Gauge-Higgs Dark Matter"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**81**, 097703 (2010); *Erratum: ibid.* **81**, 119905 (2010).

[55] Y. Adachi, N. Kurahashi, C. S. Lim, and N. Maru, *"Flavor Mixing in Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, *JHEP* **1011**, 150 (2010).

[56] K. Kojima, K. Takenaga, and T. Yamashita, *"Grand Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**84**, 051701 (2011).

[57] T. Yamashita, *"Doublet-Triplet Splitting in an SU(5) Grand Unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**84**, 115016 (2011).

[58] Y. Hosotani, M. Tanaka, and N. Uekusa, *"H parity and the stable Higgs boson in the $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**82**, 115024 (2010).

[59] Y. Hosotani, M. Tanaka, and N. Uekusa, *"Collider signatures of the $SO(5) \times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**84**, 075014 (2011).

[60] H. Hatanaka and Y. Hosotani, *"SUSY breaking scales in the gauge-Higgs unification"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**713**, 481 (2012).

[61] J. Park and S. K. Kang, *"Weak Mixing Angle and Higgs Mass in Gauge-Higgs Unification Models with Brane Kinetic Terms"*, *JHEP* **1204**, 101 (2012).

[62] Y. Adachi, N. Kurahashi, N. Maru, and K. Tanabe, *"$B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ Mixing in Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**85**, 096001 (2012); *"CP Violation due to Flavor Mixing in Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, arXiv:1201.2290 [hep-ph].

[63] K. Hasegawa, N. Kurahashi, C. S. Lim, and K. Tanabe, *"Anomalous Higgs Interactions in Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**87**, 016011 (2013).

[64] N. Maru and N. Okada, *"Diphoton decay excess and 125 GeV Higgs boson in gauge-Higgs unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**87**, 095019 (2013); *"$H \to Z\gamma$ in gauge-Higgs unification"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**88**, 037701 (2013); *"125 GeV Higgs Boson and TeV Scale Colored Fermions in Gauge-Higgs Unification"*, arXiv:1310.3348 [hep-ph].

[65] M. Kakizaki, S. Kanemura, H. Taniguchi, and T. Yamashita, *"Higgs sector as a Probe of Supersymmetric Grand Unification with the Hosotani Mechanism"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**89**, 075013 (2014)

[66] F. J. de Anda, *"Left Right Model from Gauge Higgs Unification with Dark Matter"*, arXiv:1403.4902 [hep-ph].

[67] S. Funatsu, H. Hatanaka, Y. Hosotani, Y. Orikasa, T. Shimotani, *"Dark matter in the $SO(5)\times U(1)$ gauge-Higgs unification"*, OU-HET 807, KIAS-P14007. (in preparation)

[68] J. Beringer *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], *"Review of Particle Physics (RPP)"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**86**, 010001 (2012).

[69] L. Basso, A. Belyaev, S. Moretti, G. M. Pruna, and C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, *"Z' discovery potential at the LHC in the minimal $B - L$ extension of the Standard Model"*, *Eur. Phys. J.* C**71**, 1613 (2011).

[70] S. Iso, N. Okada and Y. Orikasa, *"The minimal $B - L$ model naturally realized at TeV scale"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**80**, 115007 (2009).

[71] J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, *"Low-Energy Phenomenology of Superstring Inspired E_6 Models"*, *Phys. Rep.* **183**, 193 (1989).

[72] A. Leike, *"The Phenomenology of extra neutral gauge bosons"*, *Phys. Rep.* **317**, 143 (1999).

[73] P. Langacker, *"The Physics of Heavy Z' Gauge Bosons"*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 1199 (2009).

[74] E. Accomando, A. Belyaev, L. Fedeli, S. F. King, and C. Shepherd-Themistocleous, *"Z' physics with early LHC data"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**83**, 075012 (2011).

[75] J. Pumplin, D. R. Stump, J. Huston, H. L. Lai, P. Nadolsky, and W. K. Tung, *"New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis"*, *JHEP* **07**, 012 (2002).

[76] T. Aaltonen *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], *"Forward-Backward Asymmetry in Top Quark Production in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV"*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 202001 (2008).

[77] T. Aaltonen *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], *"Measurement of the top quark forward-backward production asymmetry and its dependence on event kinematic properties"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**87**, 092002 (2013).

[78] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], *"Measurement of the asymmetry in angular distributions of leptons produced in dilepton $t\bar{t}$ final states in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} =$ 1.96 TeV"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**88**, 112002 (2013).

[79] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], *"Measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry in the distribution of leptons in $t\bar{t}$ events in the lepton+jets channel"*, arXiv:1403.1294 [hep-ex].

[80] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], *"Inclusive and differential measurements of the $t\bar{t}$ charge asymmetry in proton-proton collisions at 7 TeV"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**717**, 129 (2012).

[81] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], *"Measurement of the top quark pair production charge asymmetry in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector"*, *JHEP* **1402**, 107 (2014).

[82] O. Antuñano, J. H. Kühn, and G. Rodrigo *"Top quarks, axigluons and charge asymmetries at hadron colliders"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**77**, 014003 (2008).

[83] G. Marques Tavares and M. Schmaltz, *"Explaining the t-$\bar{t}$ asymmetry with a light axigluon"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**84**, 054008 (2011).

[84] M. Dittmar, *"Neutral current interference in the TeV region: The Experimental sensitivity at the CERN LHC"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**55**, 161 (1997).

[85] M. Dittmar, A.-S. Nicollerat, and A. Djouadi, *"Z' studies at the LHC: an update"*, *Phys. Lett.* B**583**, 111 (2004).

[86] N. Haba, K. Kaneta, and S. Tsuno, *"QCD parity violation at LHC in warped extra dimension"*, *Phys. Rev.* D**87**, 095002 (2013).